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Natural dietary therapies for the 'gluten syndrome'


#### Abstract

Wheat supplies about $20 \%$ of the total food calories consumed worldwide and feeds approximately half of the global demand for dietary proteins. Besides being a major source of energy and nutrition it is also a major cause of frequent diet-induced health issues especially celiac disease, gluten sensitivity, and food allergies, collectively known as the 'gluten syndrome'. Gluten-intake in the sensitive individuals can elicit various reactions, which in combination with their respective genetic constitutions lead to diverse symptoms from gastrointestinal or neurological to fatal. Among these disorders celiac disease is one of the most devastating and affects $\sim \%$ of the global population. Despite of its prevalence in all tested populations the only effective therapy so far is strict dietary abstinence from glutenous grains. We therefore have undertaken three approaches to develop natural dietary therapies for these diet-induced disorders: i) epigenetic elimination of the immunogenic prolamins using transgenic and non-transgenic procedures, ii) post transcriptional silencing of immunogenic prolamins via RNA interference and iii) post-translational detoxification of prolamins by ectopic expression of 'glutenases' in wheat grains for degradation of prolamins in the human gut after consumption. The present communication describes the progress made in these directions.
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## Introduction

Celiac disease is one of the most common food-sensitive enteropathological conditions in humans and it is caused by an autoimmune reaction against certain wheat, barley and rye grain storage proteins. In human leukocyte antigen (HLA) DQ2- or DQ8-positive individuals exposure to these "gluten" proteins can lead to a painful chronic erasure of the microvilli of the epithelium in the intestine and to a permanent intolerance of dietary prolamins. The autoimmune response results from the resistance to digestion of certain proline/glutamine rich peptides (epitopes) in the prolamins by gastric, pancreatic and brushborder membrane proteases. Peptides like PFPQPQLPY are taken up through the intestinal mucosa into the lamina propria and initiate the autoimmune response. Celiac disease is commonly detected in congenital cases with severe symptoms in early childhood. In many and increasing numbers of patients, symptoms arise only later in life as a result of bread and pasta consumption. If untreated celiac disease may cause increased morbidity and mortality. Despite its prevalence in most populations comprising 24.4 million registered celiac individuals worldwide, the only effective therapy is strict dietary abstinence from these food grains.

However, because of the multiple presentations of the disease, many sufferers of this disease have not been formally diagnosed with it and estimates suggest that for every registered celiac there are 50 unrecognized individuals.

It is the purpose of this project to eliminate from wheat grains the prolamins containing majority of epitopes causing celiac disease. Eliminating these proteins will address also the other major quality problem for the consumer of wheat products: the imbalance in the amino acid profile of wheat proteins. Wheat grain is especially low in lysine, which is the first limiting amino acid in cereal proteins for humans and monogastric animals. Because the prolamin protein families that we are targeting are very lysine poor,
their elimination will lead to a considerable increase in grain lysine content with concomitant improvement in the nutritional quality, which will be beneficial for all consumers of wheat products. The highly homologous storage proteins of wheat, barley and rye called prolamins fall into two groups: one group represented by the lysine poor gliadins and low molecular weight (LMW) glutenins of wheat that contain the overwhelming majority of the protein domains (epitopes) causing the celiac response are dispensable for baking and therefore need to be removed. The other group, represented by the wheat high molecular weight (HMW) glutenins, are alone required for dough formation and baking and therefore have to be retained. The molecular structure of these two types of prolamins is very different and their genes are turned on and off by two fundamentally different mechanisms, which provides the bases for elimination of the gliadins and LMW glutenins but preservation of the HMW glutenins. The genes for gliadins and LMW glutenins are silenced by DNA methylation of their promoters in vegetative tissues. The promoters have to be de-methylated at the beginning of endosperm development to permit their transcription and the synthesis of the encoded prolamins. The aim is to silence these genes permanently in the endosperm by mutations or using RNA interference. The six wheat genes encoding the elastic fibrils of the HMW glutenins that form dityrosine cross-links during dough formation and baking and pasta production are protected against DNA methylation in all tissues by a CpG island in their promoter. They are turned on alone by synthesis of transcription factors or removal of repressor proteins at the beginning of grain filling. Thus two categories of promoters for endosperm specific gene expression can be distinguished; one, that is silenced by methylation in vegetative tissues and has to be demethylated before activation of transcription can take place; the other is solely dependent on removal of repressors or induction of transcription fac-
tors specific for the endosperm (cf. Wen et al., 2012). Hexaploid wheat has in each genome on the long arm of chromosome itwo linked genes encoding HMW glutenins. They are unmethylated in the developing endosperm and the other organs of the wheat plant. This provides the rationale for investigating, if in wheat the gliadins and LMW glutenins can be eliminated by transcriptional silencing of the 5 -methyl cytosine DNA glycosylase (i.e. DEMETER) genes without affecting the synthesis of the HMW glutenins.

In addition successful implementation of RNA interference (RNAi) for silencing of $\alpha / \beta$-, $\gamma$ - and $\omega$-gliadins in wheat (Gil-Humanes et al., 2010) formed the basis of another approach for silencing of genes encoding immunogenic gliadins and LMW glutenins. In order to synchronously silence a large number of prolamin genes expressed in the wheat endosperm we decided to test the possibility of developing a chimeric hairpin construct derived from short conserved stretches of DNA sequences identified from the se-
quence alignment of individual prolamin families. Such a hairpin is expected to provide simultaneous suppression of different prolamins. This approach has similar advantages as described for the previous strategy.

Furthermore in order to have a therapy for the patients sensitive to the HMW glutenin derived epitopes yet another but well-documented post-translational prolamin detoxification approach (cf. Bethune \& Khosla, 2012) is adapted. This approach takes advantage of the biochemical properties of endo-proteases and endo-peptidases that preferentially cleave at proline and glutamine residues, which make them capable of degrading prolamins to non-immunogenic peptides. This approach has an added advantage for the healthy individuals as it expedites and improves the digestion of the gluten proteins, thus increase bioavailability of nutrients to the consumer and improve their general health.

## Statement of Progress

## ı. Objective I

The 'high lysine' viable mutation lysza inhibits the transcription of the barley hordein $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$, and $\gamma$ prolamin genes by hypermethylation of the promoter and 4 CpGs from the adjacent coding region. The hypermethylation is characteristic for these prolamin genes
in leaves but for their transcription during endosperm development they have to be demethylated. The structural gene for high molecular weight hordein D on the other hand is hypomethylated in all tissues due to the presence of a CpG island and therefore is expressed normally in the lys3a mutant. Hordein D is homologous to the high molecular weight (HMW)


Figure I .
(a) Transmission electron micrograph of thin section through wild type developing endosperm of barley, showing developing starch grains in the amyloplasts - S , extensive endoplasmic reticulum - E and depositions of prolamins in the storage vacuoles - $V$. These are of two types: The compact component contains the $\mathbf{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and $\gamma$ hordeins, which are homologues of the gliadins. The interspersed elastic spiral fibrils are the D -hordeins, the high molecular weight glutenins of barley ( x 600 o ). (b) Comparable micrograph of the developing endosperm of the high lysine mutant lysza. It contains only the elastic spiral fibrils of the D-hordein in the storage vacuoles. The globules are phytate, which are also present in the wild type but not contained in the micrograph of A ( $\mathrm{x}_{15000 \text { ). }}$


Figure 2.
Genomic DNA, transcript and protein profiling of wild type Bomi and high lysine barley cv. Lysiba (a derivative of Ris $\varnothing$ 1508). Analysis of genomic DNA with gene-specific primers for Br-, C-, D-, $\gamma$ - and $\gamma_{3}$-hordeins showed intact copies of these genes both in Bomi and Lysiba (lower left). Transcript profiling with the gene-specific primers using cDNAs derived from the developing grains of Bomi and Lysiba: i) At the lower initial template concentration showed expression of all hordeins in case of Bomi and only $\mathrm{BI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ - and D-hordeins in case of Lysiba, and ii) at the higher initial template concentration showed minor differences between the expression profiles of Bomi and Lysiba (top left). Profiling of prolamins with SDS-PAGE revealed complete elimination of C-hordeins and reduced accumulation of B- and $\gamma$-hordeins in Risø ${ }^{5} 508$, whereas reintroduced of some of these prolamins was observed in Lysiba (right), which might be a consequence of breeding for increased grain yield.
glutenin of wheat. We want to test the hypothesis that the transcriptional inhibition is complete enough to eliminate all known celiac T-cell stimulating epitopes from the prolamins in the grain, with the exception of those in the HMW glutenins.

## 2. Results

Ultrastructural information provided by electron microscopy on the development of protein bodies in the barley endosperm of wild type, 'Bomi' and the high lysine mutant Risø 1508 suggested that the mutant
produces practically no B- and C-hordeins (Fig. I). However, profiling of prolamins (known as hordeins in barley) from 'Bomi', Risø 1508 and a derivative cultivar Lysiba on SDS-PAGE elucidated that Risø 1508 having lys3a allele is a mutant with near complete elimination of C-hordeins and revealed reduced accumulation of B and $\gamma$-hordeins (Fig. 2). Furthermore amplification of wild type Bomi and Lysiba genomic DNAs with the primers designed from genes encoding $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{I}^{-}}, \mathrm{C}_{-}, \mathrm{D}-, \gamma-$ and $\gamma 3^{-}$-hordeins amplified product of expected size for each one of them confirming no insertion/deletion in the structural copies of these
genes. In addition sequence analysis of the PCR products revealed no variation in the sequence of any analyzed prolamin gene.

Contrarily the transcript profiling of Bomi vs. Lysiba using roong of the template cDNA in RT-PCR confirmed transcription of all genes in Bomi (except for $\gamma_{3}$-hordein) and only $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and D -hordeins in Lysiba. Albeit increasing the initial template concentration to 5oong showed amplification of all prolamin genes from Lysiba, whereas transcript abundance of Chordeins is relatively low (Fig. 2). To characterize the most prevalent proteins retained in Ris $\varnothing$ 1508, gel slices with these proteins were excised and analyzed by Dr. Peter Roepstorff at the University of Southern Denmark, Odense. Proteins isolated from the gel slices were digested with trypsin, and the obtained peptides were sequenced via MALDI-TOF/TOF. The analysis of peptides revealed that the band \#I represents $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-hordein (gi|ㄷ23459) and band \#2 represents $\gamma 3$-hordein (gi| 288709 ). As B- and C-hordeins respectively constitute $70 \%$ and $24 \%$ of the total hordeins accumulated in barley endosperm it is worthwhile to test Ris $\varnothing$ I508 mutant showing complete elimination of C -hordeins and reduced accumulation of B hordeins for its immunotoxicity. In view of the above Dr. L.M. Sollid at University of Oslo, Norway performed the T-cell activity assays by isolating and purifying hordeins from io g samples of whole meal flour from field grown seeds of Risø 1508 and wild type Bomi. To test the immunogenic potential purified hordeins derived from Risø 1508 and Bomi were added individually to the T-cells isolated from blood samples of volunteers with celiac disease 6 -days after commencing oral barley challenge. The test involved measuring the number of T-cells which produced gamma-interferon following overnight incubation with the purified protein, using an antibody assay for the level of gamma-interferon. The level of gammainterferon was an indication of the extent of toxicity of the proteins in the grain. This measure of the celiac toxicity of the flour was then plotted as a function of the fresh weight of flour obtained from the grain. The analysis revealed 18 -fold reduced immunotoxicity in Ris $\varnothing I_{5} 08$ in comparison with the wild type Bomi.

To identify the gene underlying the Lysg locus and to elucidate its molecular function the following two approaches were undertaken: (i) genomics-guided functional cloning and (ii) candidate gene-based cloning.

## 2.I. Genomics-guided functional cloning of Lys3 locus

Using translocation lines the Lys3 locus was initially assigned to barley chromosome $7(5 \mathrm{H})$ by Jensen in 1979. This genetic map with limited number of morphological markers was aligned with available 'gene maps' of chromosome 5 H in GrainGenes (http:// wheat.pw.usda.gov/cmap/) and also with the high density DNA marker based Bin map developed by Kleinhofs and coworkers (http://barleygenomics. wsu.edu/all-chr.pdf). The comparative mapping allowed assignment of Lysz locus to the Bin 3 on the genetic linkage map of barley chromosome $7(5 \mathrm{H})$ (Fig. 3a). Based on its assignment to the Bin 3 we used 6 DNA markers (Abg705, Cdo66gb, Abgo64, Abg7o8, ${ }^{\text {Abg }} 497$ and Psr326) mapping to the same recombination bin to track location of Lysz on corresponding wheat group 5 chromosomes using a unique set of wheat lines carrying characterized terminal chromosomal deficiencies. The cytogenetic mapping assigned two of the 'associated' markers, $A b g 705$ and Psr 326 to the sub-telomeric bin flanked by the deletion breakpoints of ${ }_{5} \mathrm{BS}-5$ on the proximal end and $5^{\mathrm{BS}}-6$ on the distal end of chromosome ${ }_{5}$ BS (Fig. 3b).

Using wheat ESTs mapping to this deletion bin as queries and rice genomic DNA sequences as surrogate the corresponding region was determined on barley chromosome ${ }_{5} \mathrm{H}$. In view of narrowing down the region of interest a genomic-guided microarray based approach was followed. To identify differentially expressing genes between Risø 1508 (lys3a) and its parent variety Bomi, RNA was extracted from immature grains ( 17 days post-anthesis), converted to cDNA and used for hybridization on 44 k barley Agilent chip. The experiment has resulted in identification of about $2,87^{2}$ genes exhibiting more than two fold ( $p$-value 0.05) expression level differences between Risø $\mathrm{I}_{5} 08$ and Bomi. In comparison with Bomi 1,428 genes were


Figure 3.
(a) Comparative mapping of $L y s z$ locus by aligning maps prepared using morphological and DNA based markers. Analysis allowed assignment of $L y s 3$ locus to barley chromosome 5 H genetic Bin 3. (b) Assignment of Lys3 locus to wheat chromosome ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~B}$ using barley chromosome ${ }_{5} \mathrm{H}$ bin3 specific markers.



Figure 3 b
down regulated and $\mathrm{I}, 444$ genes up regulated in Risø 1508. Sequences for these differentially expressed genes were retrieved from the HarvEST database (http://www.harvest-web.org/hweb/bin/srchEST. wc?Wsize $=1255 \times 572$ ), and used to blast against rice genomic DNA sequences (http://gramene.org/Multi/blastview) and mapped wheat ESTs (http://wheat. pw.usda.gov/GG2/blast.shtml). Out of 2,872 sequences used for analysis against rice pseudo-chromosomes, $\mathrm{I}, 707$ ( $59 \cdot 44 \%$ ) sequences detected corresponding loci on 12 rice chromosomes. Out of the above 1,707 sequences 424 ( $24.85 \%$ ) sequences detected hits on rice chromosomes 3,9 and i2 that correspond to barley chromosome 5 H . And 89 of 424 differentially expressed genes specifically map to rice chromosome 12 that corresponds to barley chromosome arm 5 HS carrying the gene of interest. Later based on the mapping effort of Druka and coworkers near isogenic lines (NILs) developed for a number of morphological mutants including one for lysza gene (BW 496) showed polymorphism exclusively on chromosome iHS (rice chromosome 5) (Druka et al., 20II).

This analysis for the first time showed the possibility that the gene responsible for the high lysine content in Risø 1508 exists on chromosome 1 HS instead of $5_{5} \mathrm{HS}$ as was initially thought based on the previous mapping results (Jensen, 1979). Our analysis of differentially expressing genes between Risø 1508 and Bomi showed that 146 differentially expressed genes map to the corresponding rice chro-
mosome 5 and out of these 146 genes 14 genes belonging to 5 rice BAC clones fall within the region flanked by the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers bracketing the polymorphic regions in barley NIL. Thus we identified a small region of barley chromosome IH potentially harboring lys3a. Scientists at IPK, Gatersleben provided information of barley BAC clones mapping in this region. This BAC scaffold in barley is represented by 2I BAC contigs and spans 10.21 Mb of sequence which is $13.3^{6}$ times larger than that of rice BAC scaffold $(763.84 \mathrm{I}$ kb ) lining the region of interest (Fig. 4). The genes are currently being predicted and annotated in this sequence. The predicted gene will be blasted against genes showing differential expression between Risø 1508 and Bomi in the microarray experiment. All genes mapping to this region (including the once showing differential expression) will be classified on the basis of their function, and the likely candidates will be functionally characterized using RNA interference and/or virus induced gene silencing, which will ultimately allow identification of the underlying gene.

### 2.2. Candidate gene-based cloning of Lys 3 locus

In parallel to the former approach a candidate gene based approach was followed to clone Lys3 gene. Based on the functional similarity between the barley Lys3 and Arabidopsis DEMETER (DME) genes, we undertook cloning of barley and wheat $D M E$ genes.



Figure 4.
Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based map of a specific region on chromosome $\mathrm{I}^{H}$ showing polymorphism between Bowman and a NIL (BC ${ }_{5}$ ), BW496 for lys3a locus in Bowman background (left). BAC scaffold of the corresponding region on rice chromosome 5 showing location of 14 differentially expressing genes between $\mathrm{Ris} \varnothing 1508$ and Bomi. Twenty-one BAC contigs represent the corresponding region in barley. The number of putative genes identified in these BAC clones is shown on right. The observed and expected number of genes identified in each BAC contig is shown on extreme right.

|  |  | HSVs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accession | Genotype |  |  |
| >TA52016_4565 |  | $C \subset \subset G \subset A C$ |  |
| >CJ666546 | CS | $C \subset C G C A C$ |  |
| >TC304399 |  | $C \subset C G C A C$ |  |
| >CD888432 | recital(reversed) | $C \subset C G C A C$ |  |
| >BE446194 | CS | $C \subset C G C A C$ |  |
| >EB514264 | CS | $C$ GCAC | Haplotype 1 |
| >CJ540593 | kitaKEI1354(reversed) | G C A N |  |
| >BE515735 | Brevor | $C \subset C G$ |  |
| >WHE0603_A07_B13ZA | Brevor | $C \subset C G$ |  |
| >BE443993 | CS | C C G |  |
| >WHE1123_H05_P09ZS | CS | $C \mathrm{CG}$ |  |
| > GH728100 | recital | T T T T T A C |  |
| >TA51414_4565 |  | T T T T T A C |  |
| >CJ727182 | Valuevskaya | T T T T T A C |  |
| >TC284057 |  | T T T T T A C |  |
| >CJ896441 | Thatcher(reversed) | T T T T A C | Haplotype 2 |
| >CJ623341 | Valuevskaya(reversed) | T T T T A C |  |
| >CK208658 | Norstar | T T T A C |  |
| >CJ857516 | Scout66 | T T T A C |  |
| >CJ870300 | Scout66(reversed) | T T T A C |  |
| >BJ314693 | CS | C C C T C |  |
| >CA643900 |  | C T C G T |  |
| >CD886960 | recital | C T C G T |  |
| >CJ684257 | CS | C T C G T |  |
| > BJ320180 | CS(reversed) | C T C G T | Haplotype 3 |
| >CJ894494 | Thatcher(reversed) | T C G T |  |
| >CJ577643 | CS(reversed) | T C G T |  |
| >CJ882700 | Thatcher | TCGT |  |

Figure 5.
List of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) showing three haplotypes corresponding with the three homoeologous copies of DEMETER represented by the respective BAC clones. HSVs = homoeologous sequence variants; $\mathrm{CS}=$ Chinese Spring. [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
2.2.I. Cloning of the barley DEMETER gene

A barley transcript assembly TA38047-4513 (TIGR database) of 2312 bp showing $77.47 \%$ nucleotide identity and $56.52 \%$ coverage of Arabidopsis DME gene was used to design DEMETER-specific primers. The primers TA38047_F: $5^{\prime}$ 'TGTGCGTCTTTT-GACACTCC-3'and TA38047_R: 5'-GCTCGTA-CAATGTCCGTTGA-3' were used to amplify the de-
sired fragments from the barley genomic DNA and a cDNA library prepared from the developing grains. PCR products were visualized by gel electrophoresis. The PCR reactions using the above primers yielded 342 and 187 nucleotide fragments respectively from barley genomic DNA and cDNA. The sequence of the genomic fragment covered introns no. 6 and 7 and adjacent domains with a nucleotide identity of $9 \mathrm{r} .6 \%$

TABLE . List of primers used for chromosomal localization of DEMETER homocologues.

| Primer designation | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Product size (bp) | Primer allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5A_2159_1:F | CACAATTAGTTGAGACGGGAAT | 200 | Intron13 |
| 5A_2159_1:R | CCTTCAAGCCTGATTGATGC |  |  |
| 5A_2159_2:F | ACCTGAAGTTCCTGCTGACA | 303 | Exon3-Intron3 |
| 5A_2159_2:R | TGCCCACATGTCCATATGACTA |  |  |
| 5B_1946_1:F | TCAAAAAGCAAATTCTGAACTCC | 370 | Exon3-Intron3 |
| 5B_1946_1:R | TTGCCCACATGTCTAAATACAGAAC |  |  |
| 5B_1946_2:F | ACAGAAATACCTCTGGCCTCGATTATGC | 546 | Exon7-Intron9 |
| 5B_1946_2:R | AAATATCATCAGTCGCTGCCGTCAAG |  |  |
| 5D_2106_1:F | ACAAAACTAGTGGGAACAGCAG | 528 | Introns13-15 |
| 5D_2106_1:R | CGTGAATTAATCCATGGAGTAGAT |  |  |
| 5D_2106_2:F | TCAAGACCCTATGAGTCCATAAC | 370 | Intron4 |
| 5D_2106_2:R | AGACCTATCACAAGAAAACTAATGG |  |  |

[Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
between rice and barley. The fragment was radioactively labelled and hybridized to the filters of the barley BAC library of cv. Morex. The probe hybridized to a single BAC clone 273 I4i4, which has been subcloned and sequenced. For sequencing the transposon EZ-Tn5 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}<\mathrm{KAN}-2>$ Insertion Kit (Epicentre ${ }^{\mathrm{R}}$ Biotechnologies, Madison WI) was used. The BAC clone 2731414 was subcloned with EcoRI and HindIII into pBluescript and fingerprinted. The selected clones with the fragments were incubated for 2 h with EZ-$\mathrm{Tn}_{5}<\mathrm{KAN}-2>$ transposon and EZ-Tn5 transposase and the reaction mixture transformed into competent recA E. coli cells. Kanamycin resistant colonies were isolated, the clones sequenced and the contigues assembled (Genbank accession no. FMi64415.r). A full-length cDNA clone of barley $D M E$ gene was also obtained by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RTPCR) followed by restriction digestion and ligation
of 2 fragments obtained by RT-PCR. The resultant fragment ( $>5.9 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) was then cloned in pNEB206A (New England BioLabs, Inc. Cat. \# N5502L).

### 2.2.2. Cloning of the three wheat DEMETER genes

A pair of $D M E$-specific primers (DME-Fr: 5'-TGT-GCGTCTTTTGACACTCC- 3 , and DME-RI: 5'-GCTCGTACAATGTCCGTTGA-3') potentially amplifying a 356 bp fragment from the homoeologous copies of the $D M E$ gene (nucleotide pos. 13263${ }_{13} 618$ on FMi64415.I) was designed, and used for amplification of wheat genomic DNA. The fragments thus obtained were used as probe to screen the Chinese Spring BAC library (consisting of I. 3 million clones, representing 7 x coverage of the genome) through macroarray hybridizations (mean insert size of clones is 130 kb ), which lead to the

TABLE 2. List of structural changes observed between DEMETER homoeologues.

|  | Length in bp |  |  | Average <br> (bp) | HSVs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1946 | 2159 | 2106 |  | Observed* | Expected |
| Exon1 | 2836 | 2815 | 2833 | 2828 | 78 (43) | 65.21 |
| Exon2 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 2 | 2.10 |
| Exon3 | 1543 | 1543 | 1543 | 1543 | 34 (16) | 35.58 |
| Exon4 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 1.84 |
| Exon5 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 2 | 1.96 |
| Exon6 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 3 | 2.12 |
| Exon7 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 1 | 1.59 |
| Exon8 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0.85 |
| Exon9 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 2 | 1.91 |
| Exon10 | 444 | 444 | 444 | 444 | 4 | 10.24 |
| Exon11 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 1 | 0.85 |
| Exon12 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 1 | 1.34 |
| Exon13 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 1 | 3.23 |
| Exon14 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 0 | 1.52 |
| Exon15 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 2 | 2.65 |
| Exon16 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 0.90 |
| Exon17 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 4 (1) | 3.09 |
| Total | 5949 | 5928 | 5946 | 5941 | 135 |  |

*Number of HSVs causing amino acid substitutions is given in parenthesis.
[Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
identification of 7 BAC clones that were partially sequenced using $D M E$-specific primers, allowed identification of three unique BAC clones. The three BAC clones ( $1946 \mathrm{Do8}$, $2106 \mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ and 2159 Bo 3 ) identified were sequenced at $>60$-fold coverage by 454 sequencing method at the DNA Sequencing Core, Washington State University, Pullman, WA. A total of 38.9 Mb of high quality sequence was obtained for three
 Ninety-four percent of 13.4 Mb sequence obtained for $1946 \mathrm{Do8}$ was assembled into io large contigs covering ${ }^{147} \mathrm{~kb}$ of the BAC insert. Similarly, $88 \%$ of 14.5 Mb of the sequence obtained for 2 20 $6 \mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ and $87 \%$ of ${ }_{\text {iI }} \mathrm{Mb}$ of the sequence obtained for 2159 Bo 3 were assembled into 5 and 6 large contigs respectively covering 157 kb and 102 kb of the BAC inserts. Analysis
of sequences obtained from the above three BAC clones revealed that each of them harbors a fulllength $D M E$ sequence.

Comparison of the full-length wheat $D M E$ sequences with all available wheat ESTs in the public domain revealed that the three homocologues are transcriptionally active (Fig. 5). The ESTs showing homology with DME homoeologues were assembled in a contig. These ESTs were derived from ro different wheat cultivars [including if ESTs from Chinese Spring (CS), 5 ESTs from Recital, 4 ESTs each from Thatcher, etc.]. The ESTs assembled in a contig were carefully examined for the presence of homoeologous sequence variants (HSVs) allowing partitioning of the EST-contig into three sub-contigs. These sub-contigs virtually represent transcripts derived from three

|  | Length in bp |  |  | Average <br> (bp) | HSVs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1946 | 2159 | 2106 |  | Observed | Expected |
| Intron1 | 227 | 226 | 227 | 226.67 | 11 | 20.36 |
| Intron2 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 99.00 | 10 | 8.89 |
| Intron3 | 135 | 147 | 139 | 140.33 | 21 | 12.60 |
| Intron4 | 1709 | 1791 | 1725 | 1741.67 | 92 | 156.43 |
| Intron5 | 78 | 79 | 79 | 78.67 | 3 | 7.07 |
| Intron6 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 77.33 | 3 | 6.95 |
| Intron7 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83.00 | 4 | 7.45 |
| Intron8 | 80 | 80 | 81 | 80.33 | 5 | 7.22 |
| Intron9 | 431 | 432 | 428 | 430.33 | 27 | 38.65 |
| Intron10 | 146 | 155 | 154 | 151.67 | 19 | 13.62 |
| Intron11 | 86 | 85 | 86 | 85.67 | 16 | 7.69 |
| Intron12 | 89 | 88 | 88 | 88.33 | 6 | 7.93 |
| Intron13 | 1335 | 1334 | 1330 | 1333.00 | 101 | 119.73 |
| Intron14 | 109 | 109 | 109 | 109.00 | 3 | 9.79 |
| Intron15 | 1430 | 1141 | 1059 | 1210.00 | 232 | 108.68 |
| Intron16 | 568 | 568 | 565 | 567.00 | 31 | 50.93 |
| Total | 6681 | 6495 | 6330 | 6502 | 584 |  |

$D M E$ homoeologues (Fig. 5). The virtual transcript profiling of wheat $D M E$ homoeologues suggested that the three homoeologues contribute almost equally to the transcript abundance, and are expressed in various different tissues including grains, anthers, developing and mature spikes and roots.

In addition, comparison of wheat $D M E$ homocologues with mapped wheat ESTs showed high homo-$\operatorname{logy}(\mathrm{c}$-value $=0.0$ and Score $=73 \mathrm{I})$ with $\mathrm{BE}_{471039,}$ and allowed their assignment to the long arm of wheat group 5 chromosomes ( $5 \mathrm{AL}, 5 \mathrm{BL}$ and ${ }_{5} \mathrm{DL}$; Fig. 6). Assignment of $D M E$ homoeologues to the specific sub-genomes of bread wheat was performed using homoeologue-specific primers, designed by tagging their $3^{\prime}$-ends at homoeologue sequence variants (HSVs) (Table I). Each of the homoeologue-specific
primer pairs was used on the genomic DNA of diploid wheat progenitors [Triticum urartu (AA), Aegilopsspeltoides (BB), and Ae. tauschii (DD)] with Chinese Spring [T. aestivum (AABBDD)]. These primers allowed unambiguous assignment of $2159 \mathrm{Bo3}$ to A sub-genome, $1946 \mathrm{Do8}$ to B sub-genome and 2ro6Pir to D sub-genome of common wheat (Figs. $6 \& 7$ ). Additionally, the chromosomal and sub-genome assignment of $D M E$ homocologues was further validated by the use of wheat group 5 nulli-tetrasomic lines (Fig. 6). Whereas, subchromosomal location of $5 \mathrm{~B} D M E$ homoeologue to the subcentromeric-bin bracketed by the deletion breakpoints of $5^{\mathrm{BL}-\mathrm{I} 2}$ [fraction length (FL)-o.o8] and ${ }_{5} \mathrm{BL}-2$ (FL-0.26), encompassing 98.78 Mb of genomic DNA on ${ }_{5} \mathrm{BL}$, was determined using ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~B}$ specific terminal and interstitial deletion lines (Fig. 6).


Figure 6.
Cytogenetic deletion map of wheat and barley $D M E$ homologues on wheat group $5\left(5 A,{ }_{5} \mathrm{~B}\right.$ and ${ }_{5} \mathrm{D}$ ) and barley ${ }_{5} \mathrm{H}$ chromosomes. The green bars show gross chromosomal locations (based on homology with mapped wheat ESTs and/or synteny and colinearity in case of barley) and the red bar shows precise location (based on wheat nulli-tetrasomic and deletion lines). Sub-chromosomal localization of wheat DME homoeologue on ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~B}$ was determined using sub-genome specific primers $5_{5}$ B_1946_2 F and R (Table I) on the genomic DNA of wheat cultivar Chinese Spring (control), nullitetrasomic lines for group 5 chromosomes, deletion lines for long and short arms of chromosome ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~B}$, and an interstitial deletion line phrb. TaDME-5B localizes to the sub-centromeric bin of the long arm of chromosome ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~B}\left({ }_{5} \mathrm{BL}\right)$. Specific product indicated by arrow head; $\mathrm{M}=1$ 1oobp ladder. [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)].

Genomic location of the barley $D M E$ homologue (HvDME) was determined using rice as a proxy, where wheat ESTs mapped to specific chromosomal bins were used to identify syntenous region on rice
chromosome 9 , and rice BAC/PAC sequences were searched against mapped barley ESTs. The analysis allowed localization of $H v D M E$ to chromosome 5 H between centromere ( $C$ ) and ${ }_{5} \mathrm{HL}-15$ (Fig. 6).



Figure 7.
Bar diagrams showing observed and expected (dotted line) frequencies of homoeologous sequence variants (synonymous changes in green and non-synonymous changes in red) across the length of wheat DME homoeologues. Location of different exons and four functional domains were shown below each bar diagram. PCR amplification profiles obtained using sub-genome specific primers on the genomic DNAs extracted from diploid wheat progenitors (T. $u=$ T. urartu ' A ' sub-genome donor, Ae.s = Ae. speltoides most likely ' B ' sub-genome donor, and Ae.t = Ae. tauschii ' D ' sub-genome donor) and 'Chinese Spring' (AABBDD) was shown at the top of each bar diagram. To confirm assignment to wheat DME homocologues two primer pairs each from BAC clones $2159 \mathrm{Bo3}, 1946 \mathrm{Do8}$ and $2160 \mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ were designed and tested (Table 1). The primers for 1946 Do8 were additionally validated on wheat nulli-tetrasomic lines for group 5 chromosomes. [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]

TABLE 3. List of structural differences observed between DEMETER homologues.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\hline \text { Species } & \text { Gene } & \text { Accession \# } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Lysine- } \\ \text { rich } \\ \text { domain }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Linker } \\ \text { region 1 }\end{array} & \text { DUF1 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Linker } \\ \text { region 2 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { HhH- } \\ \text { GPD }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Linker } \\ \text { region 3 }\end{array} & \text { DUF2 Genomic } \\ \text { location }\end{array}\right]$
[Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]

### 2.2.3. Nucleotide diversity among wheat

## DEMETER homoeologues

The three $D M E$ sequences differ in length from each other ranging from 12.27 kb for $2106 \mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ to 12.63 kb for 1946Do8. The observed differences in the length of $D M E$ homoeologues are mostly due to insertions and deletions (InDels) in the introns. A large number of point mutations and small InDels (collectively referred as HSVs) between $D M E$ homoeologous also exist in exons, and contribute to the observed diversity in the protein sequences. A total of 135 HSVs giving a frequency of $22.7 \mathrm{HSVs} / \mathrm{kb}$ in exons and $5^{8} 4$ HSVs giving a frequency of go $\mathrm{HSVs} / \mathrm{kb}$ in introns was observed. Out of 135 HSVs 60 ( $44 \cdot 44 \%$ ) contribute to amino acid substitutions in at least one of the three DME homoeologues (Table 2). When expected and observed HSV frequencies were plotted against the distance in nucleotides for three $D M E$ homocologues, interestingly, highest level of nucleotide diversity was observed in TaDME-5B followed by TaDME$5 A$ and $T a D M E-5 D$ (Fig. 7).

For $\operatorname{Ta} D M E-5 A$, total number of HSVs peaked in the beginning and end of exon a and was kept lower than the expected number of HSVs until exon 15 . The HSVs causing amino acid changes follow the same
trend keeping the nucleotide diversity low in vicinity of 4 functional domains (including lysine rich domain, DUFi, HhH-GPD + FES, and DUF2). For TaDME-5 $B$ the frequency of HSVs gradually increased from the middle of exon a persisted high till exon 3 and then gradually declined thereafter. However, frequency of HSVs causing functional changes followed the same trend as observed for TaDME-5A, keeping nucleotide diversity low in vicinity of all functional domains. Similarly, $\operatorname{Ta} D M E-5 D$ also followed the same trend of nucleotide diversity as observed for TaDME$5 A$ (Fig. 7). These observations indicated a natural selection against non-synonymous point mutations (selective sweep) in these domains, and also reflected towards their functional importance.

### 2.2.4. Phylogenetic analysis of DEMETER

Comparison of amino acid sequences of DME revealed high levels of similarity within the grass lineage as well as with Arabidopsis (Fig. 8a). The sizes of five functional domains and the linker regions connecting various domains were kept highly conserved between plants belonging to very distant taxonomic groups. Specifically, sizes of domain of unknown function I (DUFI) ranged from io8-III amino acids


HhH-GPD motif

SbDME LVTDHGSIDLEWL-- RDVQPDKAKDFLLSIRGLGLKSVECVRLLTLHH - MAFPVDTNVGRICVR TaDME VVRDHGSIDLEWL-- RYVDPDKAKEYLLSIRGLGLKSVECVRLLTLHH-MAFPVDTNVGRICVR HvDME VVRDHGS IDLEWL-- RHVDPDKAKEYLLSIRGLGLKSVECVRLLTLHH-MAFPVDTNVGRICVR OsDME LVRDHGSIDLEWL--RYVDSDKAKDYLLSIRGLGLKSVECVRLLTLHH-MAFPVDTNVGRICVR AtDME IVKDHGGIDLEWL- - RESPPDKAKDYLLSIRGLGLKSVECVRLLTLHN - LAFPVDTNVGRIAVR MutY VATLHGG……..........................EEEVAALPGVGRSTAGAILSLSLGKHFPI-LDGNVKRVIAR h0GG1 I LEEQGG--LAWLQQLRESSYEEAHKALCILPGVGTKVADCICLMALDKPQAVPVDVHMWHIAQR EndIII LLEQHNG………EVPEDRAALEALPGVGRKTANVVLNTAFGWP-TIAVDTHIFRVCNR


Figure 8.
(a) Phylogenetic analysis of DME homologues from Arabidopsis (NP_ooio78527.I), rice (BAFo4322.I), sorghum
(JF683319), barley (CAQ58412.I) and wheat (JF683316-JF683318) showing high level of conservation at sequence as well as structural levels. (b) Diagrammatic representation of DME protein showing four conserved domains with a magnified view of helix-hairpin-helix domain and iron sulfur cluster underlying the active site of the enzyme showing homology among 5-methylcytosine DNA glycosylases obtained from different organisms. (c) $3_{3} \mathrm{D}$ structural model of the glycosylase domain of EndoIII in complex with DNA to show close functional conservation among different glycosylases. [Source:
Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]


Figure 9.
Diagrammatic representation of the mutations identified in the active site of wheat DEMETER homoeologues in (a) 'Express' and (b) 'Kronos' backgrounds.
 lighted by light green and light blue colors. Conserved lysine ' $K$ ', aspartic acid ' $D$ ' and cysteine ' C ' residues are respectively highlighted by yellow, red and blue colors. Splice site variants are indicated by dashed line. Mutations analyzed further for validation of mutant genotype and zygosity are boxed or underlined in case of splice site variants. Bold red font = mutations previously reported in Arabidopsis and shown to disrupt enzyme activity (Mok et al 20IO); $\mathrm{C}=$ mutants used for crossing to obtain $D E M E T E R$ double mutations.

| DEMETER_5D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations | K |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | K |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | N | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L |  |
| TaDME |  | Y | Y L | L | L | S | I |  | R | G | L | G | L | K | K S | S | V | E |  | C |  | R | L | L | T | L | L | H | H | M | A | F | P | V | D | T | N | V | G | R | I | C | V | R | L | G | W | V | P | L | Q | P | L | P | E |
| OsDME | D |  |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - I | I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AtDME | D |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | N | L | - | - | - I | 1. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | - | - | M | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  |  |  |  |  | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  | S | I |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TaDME | S |  | L | Q | L | H | L |  | L | E | L | Y | P | M | M | L | E | N |  | I | Q | K | Y | L | W | W $\mathbf{P}$ |  | R | L | C | K | L | D | Q | R | T | L | Y | E | L | H | Y | Q | M | I | T | F | G | K | V | F | C | T | K | S |
| OsDME | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | M | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| AtDME | - |  | - - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | V | V | - | - | S |  | - | - | - | F | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TaDME | K | P | P | N | C | N | A |  | C | P | M | R | A | E |  |  | K | H |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OsDME | - |  | - - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AtDME | R |  |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | G | - |  |  | R | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEMETER_5B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | क |  |  | F |  | S |  | L |  |
| TaDME | E | Y |  | L | L | S | I |  | R | G | L | G | L | K |  | S | V | E |  | C | V | R | L | L | T | L | H | H | H | M | A | F | PI | V | D | T | N | V | G | R | I | C | V | R | L | G | W | V | P | L | Q | P | L | P | E |
| OsDME | D |  |  | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - I |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AtDME | D |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | N | L | - | - | - I | 1. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | - | - | M | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{.9}{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  | F | F |  |  | Y |  |  |  | K |  |  | L | I |  |  | K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q |  |  |  |  | N |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TaDME | S |  | LQ | Q | L | H | L |  | L | E | L | Y | P | M | M | L | E | N |  | I | Q | K | Y | L | W | W $\mathbf{P}$ |  | R | L | C | K | L | D | Q | R | T | L | Y | E | L | H | Y | Q | M |  |  |  | G | K | V | F | C | T | K | S |
| OsDME | - |  | - - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | M | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - |  | - | Q | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Q | - | - | T |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| AtDME | - |  | - - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | V | V | - | - | S |  | - | - | - | F | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  |  | K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TaDME | K | P | P | N | C | N | A |  | C | P | M | R | A | E | E | C | K | H |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OsDME | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AtDME | R |  |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | G | - |  |  | R | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEMETER_5A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 产 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L |  |  |  |
| TaDME |  | Y | Y 1 | L | L | S | I |  | R | G | L | G | L | K | K | S | V | E |  | C | V | R | L | L | T | L | H | H | H | M | A | F | P | V | D | T | N | V | G | R | I | C | V | R | L | G | W | V | P | L | Q | P | L | P | E |
| OsDME | D |  |  |  | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AtDME | D |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | N | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | - | - | M | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  | F | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TaDME | S | L | LQ | Q | L | H | L |  | L | E | L | Y | P | M | M | L | E | N |  | I | Q | K | Y | L | W | W $\mathbf{P}$ |  | R | L | C | K | L | D | Q | R | T | L | Y | E | L | H | Y | Q | M | I | T | F | G |  | IV | F | C | T | K | S |
| OsDME | - | - | L | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | M |  | - | - | - - | - | - | - |  | - | Q | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | Q | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| AtDME | - |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  | - |  | I. | - | V | V - |  | - | S |  | - | - | - | F | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | L | - |  | - |  |  | I | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TaDME | K | P | P | N | C | N | A |  | C | P | M | R | A | E | C | C | K | H |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OsDME | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AtDME | R |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | G |  |  |  | R |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Wild type TTTRATRTRTCTCRTGTTCACCTTTCCARACGTTATCATTTGATAGTGRAGCTCGTA $w t$ BTTRATATATCTCATGTTCACCTTCCARFCGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA $w t$ ITTARTATATCTCATGTTCACCTTCTARACGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFIGCTCGTA $m u$ ITTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTTCTARACGTTATCATTTGATAGTGARGCTCGTA $m u$ ITTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTTCCARFLGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA $w t$ TTTARTATATCTCATGTTCACCTTTCTARRCGTTATCATTGRTAGTGAFGCTCGTA $m u$ ITTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTTCNARIACGTRTCATTGATAGTGARGCTCGTA $h z$

## 震 TTTARTATATCTCATGTTCACCTTTCNARACGTTATCATTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA $h z$

 ITTARTATATCTCATGTTCACCTTTCCARFCGTTATCATTTGATAGTGARGCTCGTA wt ITTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTTCCARFCGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA wt ITTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTCCARACGTTATCATTTGATAGIGARGCTCGTA $w t$ ITTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTCCAFACGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA $w t$ ITTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTCCARFCGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA $w t$ TTTARTATATCTCATGTCACCTTTCCARFCGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA $w t$(b) DTTTARTATATCTCATGTTCACCTTTCCARACGTTATCATTTGATAGTGAFGCTCGTA $w t$ *
Figure 10.
Diagrammatic representation of DEMETER gene sequence (a) highlighting exons 4 to io (rectangular boxes) showing position of $D M E$ ' $B$ ' homocologue specific primer ( 4262 ) used for sequencing of PCR products obtained from $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ plants in 'Express' background. (b) Results of sequencing confirmed the zygosity of $M_{3}$ plants obtained from heterozygous $M_{3}$ seeds for the $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{T}$ mutation changing Gly to Arg in the enzyme sequence. Wt = wild type, $\mathrm{Mu}=$ mutant and $\mathrm{Hz}=$ heterozygote.
(AAs), glycosylase domain [including HhH-GPD and iron-sulfur cluster ( FeS ) motifs] ranged from Igi-2I4 AAs, linker region 3 and DUF2 ranged from 4 I- 45 AAs and 245-274 AAs, respectively among different plant species (Fig. 8a; Table 3). The HhH-GPD domain showed conservation across kingdoms, indicating towards the functional conservation of a short-patch DNA base excision repair (BER) pathway for these proteins (Fig. 8b,c).

## 3. Conclusion

Profiling of prolamins from Risø 1508 (lys3a) and a derivative cultivar Lysiba revealed that Risø 1508 is a mutant with near complete elimination of C -hordeins and partial elimination of B- and $\gamma$-hordeins. T-cell based assay was performed to determine level of prolamin immunotoxicity in this mutant. In comparison with the wild type Bomi the mutant revealed 18 -fold


Figure in.
Expression profiling of DEMETER using RNA extracted from the immature $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ grains of homozygous mutant plants using Roche Light Cycler 480 . DEMETER mRNA level was normalized to Actin. Dotted circles indicate $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ plants with suppression of DEMETER transcripts.
reduction in immunotoxicity. The level of suppression is quite encouraging instigating us for molecular characterization of this mutant using two different approaches: i) A genomics-guided functional cloning approach that allowed us to track the location of $L y s 3$ gene to the short arm of barley chromosome IH , and ii) a candidate gene based approach that allowed us to clone and map wheat and barley homologues of Arabidopsis DEMETER (a 5-methylcytosine DNA glycosylases/lyase) gene. Cytogenetic mapping of wheat and barley $D M E$ genes allowed their assignment to the long arms of wheat group 5 chromosomes and barley chromosome arm 5 HL , respectively. The results indicated that albeit Lysz and DEMETER control expression of immunogenic prolamins (low molecular weight glutenins and gliadins) by active demethylation of their promoters in the developing endosperm however, they are different genes. This is evident from the localization of $L y s 3$ gene on chromo-
some arm iHS and of $D M E$ gene on chromosome arm ${ }_{5} \mathrm{H}$ Lhowever, detailed molecular characterization of the $L y s 3$ gene requires further investigation.

## 4. Objective 2

We want to test the hypothesis that transcription of wheat gliadin genes are regulated by the same mechanism. Since the lys 3 a gene is not cloned, we wish to select "high lysine" lys $3 a$ ortholog mutants in wheat $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ generations of mutagenized seed and analyze their promoter methylation state during endosperm development. Both approaches have the potential to obtain barley and wheat lines that lack the majority of the known and potential celiac T-cell stimulating epitopes. The resulting plants will limit the requirement of elimination of celiac causing peptides to the single HMW glutenin in barley and to the six wheat HMW glutenins that are encoded by two adjacent


Figure 12.
Profiling of gliadin-fraction extracted from the mature grains of homozygous $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ plants on SDS-PAGE. Dotty rectangular boxes mark the position of missing bands.
genes in each of the three wheat genomes. These proteins are to the best of our knowledge alone decisive for baking quality.

## 5. Results

The following experiments were undertaken to assure conservation of mechanism for transcriptional regulation of prolamin genes of wheat and barley, and to ascertain if DEMETER regulates accumulation of immunogenic prolamins in the developing endosperm of wheat grains similar to high-lysine barley mutant Risø 1508 (lys3a).

## 5.I. CpG-islands in the wheat prolamin genes

High homology between the promoters ( $85-89 \%$ ) and transcribed ( $7 \mathrm{r}-79 \%$ ) regions of wheat high molecular weight glutenin (HMWg) and barley D-hordein genes, and the presence of CpG-islands (CpGis) bracketing the transcription start site (TSS) of the D-
hordein genes preventing their methylation and subsequent silencing, instigated us to examine wheat and barley prolamin sequences for the presence of CpGis. Out of 47 HMWg sequences derived from different wheat cultivars and representing various HMWg alleles, CpGis could be predicted in 38 cases leaving 9 cases where no island could be detected (Appendix I). All HMWg sequences that escaped prediction of a CpGi belong to the $G l u-D_{I}$ locus except for the one case where information is not available. In contrast a CpGi was always predicted in the sequences belonging to $G l u-A I$ and $G l u-B I$, but in both cases at two different locations i) bracketing the TSS and ii) after the TSS (within 120 bp ). The prediction of CpGi after TSS could be argued due to the lack of sequence information and not because of their sheer locations. But the validity of two kinds of predictions can be advocated by the cases where enough sequence information is available (e.g., $E U_{137} 874$ ). The distribution of CpGi is even more diverse for sequences belonging to the $G l u-D_{I}$ locus, where CpGis were predicted at the
following 3 locations or not at all i) in $5^{\prime}$-untranslated region (UTR), ii) after TSS, and iii) at the most common location, i.e., bracketing the TSS. The diverse locations of CpGi in the D sub-genome copies of HMWgs indicate an intricate pattern of their transcriptional regulation that might have evolved after the second round of polyploidization in common wheat and/or as a consequence of breeding. The HMWg sequences lacking CpGi when compared with the sequences with presence of CpGi showed significant homology with each other except for the regions where CpGis are predicted. Close examination of the sequences showed presence of multiple C to T substitutions and InDels in the CpGis predicted regions. This leads to the overall reduction in the CG content of these regions causing them to escape CpGi prediction. When sequences were retrieved for LMWgs and gliadins no CpGis could be detected for any of the 31 LMWgs and 30 gliadins (Appendix I) except for two cases (both belonging to $\alpha$-gliadins). Interestingly when 5 \$-end of some of the HMWg gene sequences were examined carefully they showed high homology with $\alpha$-gliadin sequences. This throws light on the evolution of $\alpha$-gliadin genes with CpGi involving illegitimate recombination followed by selection.

### 5.2. TILLING of DEMETER homoeologues in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat

We screened tetraploid 'Kronos' and hexaploid 'Express' TILLING populations for DEMETER knock-out/-down-expressed mutations. Average mutation density in an ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenized $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ population of Kronos was I mutation every 40 kb DNA, and of Express was i mutation every 24 kb DNA. The mutations are mostly single nucleotide polymorphisms or small deletions. Two runs, one each with a set of sub-genome specific primers were executed on Kronos and Express M2 DNA-bulks. The sub-genome specific DEMETER primers amplified ro50 bp from 'A' sub-genome and 1044 or 855 bp respectively from the ' $B$ ' sub-genome of Kronos and Express (Table 4). The amplified region represents exon 5 to exon 9 of the $D M E$ gene, which includes the active site of the enzyme. In total 42 mutations in the ' A ' sub-genome and 35 mutations in the ' B ' sub-genome of the T. durum DEMETER homoeologues (TdDEM) were detected. Out of 42 mutations detected for the ' A ' homocologue and 35 mutations detected for ' B ' homoeologue, 4 and 5 mutations respectively coincided with the conserved motifs jointly forming

TABLE 4. List of homoeologues-specific DEMETER primers for screening of Kronos and Express TILLING libraries.

| Primer designation Primer sequence (5'-3') | Product size <br> (bp) | Primer <br> allocation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DME_A_4259F | GGGAAGTTTGCATGGTTGACTGAAATAA | $1050(\mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{E})$ | Intron 4-9 |
| DME_A_4261R | GGGAAATATTATCAGTCGATGCCATCAAA |  |  |
| DME_B_4260F | TAAAAGGGTTATTCTAAAAGTTATATTATGCG | $1045(\mathrm{~K})$ | Intron 4-9 |
| DME_B_3910F | TGTGTGCGTCTTTTGACACTCCAT | $855(\mathrm{E})$ | Exon 5-Intron 9 |
| DME_B_4262R | AAATATCATCAGTCGGTGCCGTCAAG |  |  |
| DME_D_1555F | CCTTAGATGAATGTTTTTGGCGAAC | 1008 | Intron 4-9 |
| DME_D_2514R | ATACACAGTTCCACAGGAAACTCGA |  |  |

TABLE 5. Summary of mutations detected in tetraploid and heaxploid wheats via TILLING.

| Cultivar | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sub- } \\ \text { ge- } \\ \text { nome } \end{gathered}$ | Indivs. screened | Total (unique); frequency | Coding (unique); frequency | Nonsynonymous/ nonsense (unique) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Synony- } \\ \text { mous } \\ \text { (unique) } \end{gathered}$ | Noncoding <br> (unique); <br> frequency | Active site | Between domains |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kronos | A | 3456 | $\begin{gathered} 42(36) ; \\ 1 / 86 \mathrm{~kb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17(15) ; \\ & 1 / 213 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 9 (8) | 8 (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 25(21) ; \\ & 1 / 145 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 3 (S) | 5 |
|  | в | 3840 | $\begin{aligned} & 35(26) ; \\ & 1 / 115 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17(16) ; \\ & 1 / 236 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 10 (9) | 7 (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 18(10) ; \\ & 1 / 223 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 4 (S) | 5 |
| Express | A | 3456 | $\begin{gathered} 42 \text { (37); } \\ \text { 1/86kb } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { (16); } \\ & 1 / 202 k b \end{aligned}$ | 9 (9) | 9 (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 24(21) ; \\ & 1 / 151 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 5 (S) | 4 (S) |
|  | B | 3456 | $\begin{gathered} 56(44) ; \\ 1 / 53 \mathrm{bp} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35(26) ; \\ 1 / 84 \mathrm{~kb} \end{gathered}$ | 25 (20) | 10 (6) | $\begin{aligned} & 21(18) ; \\ & 1 / 141 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 4 (S) | 16 |
|  | D | 13824 | 93 (63); <br> 1/150kb | $\begin{aligned} & 56(34) ; \\ & 1 / 249 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 28 (19) | 28 (15) | $\begin{aligned} & 37(29) ; \\ & 1 / 377 \mathrm{~kb} \end{aligned}$ | 11 (S) | 8 |

$S=$ splice site variant
the glycosylase domain [helix-hairpin-helix (HhH) motif and a glycine/proline-rich loop with a conserved aspartic acid (GPD)] of the DEMETER enzyme. A mutation resulting in a premature stop codon, falling between the two conserved motifs was also detected in 'A' homoeologue of TdDEM. Similarly, 42 mutations in ' $A$ ' sub-genome and 56 mutations in ' B ' sub-genome of the T. aestivum DEMETER homoeologues (TaDEM) were detected (Fig. 9; Table 5). Out of 42 mutations detected for the ' A ' homoeologue and 56 mutations detected for the ' $B$ ' homoeologue, 6 and 5 mutations respectively coincided with the conserved motifs, whereas 4 mutations two each detected in ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' homocologues resulted in either a premature stop codon or splice-site variants, fall between the two conserved motifs. Similarly, a 'D' sub-genome specific primer pair amplifying a roo8bp fragment from the wheat genome was used to screen Express $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ DNA-bulks. Three rounds of screening allowed identification of 93 mutations in ' D ' homoeologue of TaDEM. Out of these 93 mutations if coincided with the glycosylase domain (Fig. 9; Table 5). One mutation resulted in a premature stop codon, fell between the two conserved motifs forming the active
glycosylase domain. Interestingly a point mutation at the conserved aspartic acid residue, which repeatedly had been shown to disrupt enzyme activity in Arabidopsis, was also recovered.

A total of $344 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ plants including i30 plants respectively representing 3 and 7 (either in homozygous or heterozygous state) mutations in 'Kronos' and 'Express' backgrounds, underlying the conserved domains of B subgenome DEMETER homoeologue and 2I4 plants representing 5 and 9 mutants respectively in 'Kronos' and 'Express' backgrounds underlying the conserved domains of A subgenome DEMETER homoeologue were planted in glasshouse. Similarly, a total of $44 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ plants representing 9 (either in homozygous or heterozygous state) mutations in 'Express' background, underlying the conserved domains of D subgenome DEMETER homocologue were propagated in glasshouse. DNA was extracted from the leaves of 2 weeks old plants, used for amplification of subgenome-specific DEMETER products, and to determine zygosity of plants by sequencing of the PCR products (Fig. roa, b). The analysis allowed identification of at least one homozygous $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ plant for each heterozygous $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ stock, except for the four cases

## Express

| $\mathrm{B} Q$ | 66 | $76 /$ <br> 78 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | 22 | 7 |
| 67 | 36 | 49 |


| $\mathrm{A}_{9}^{\mathrm{B} \delta^{\top}}$ | 66 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 76 / \\ & 78 / 80 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 13 | 7 |
| 67 | 44 | 31 |

45: D7E20548, V29M, A sub-genome; 67: D7E12680, stop codon, A sub-genome;
66: D8E20396, stop codon, B sub-genome; 76: D8E50322, M89I, B sub-genome;
78: D8E50322, M89I, B sub-genome; 80: D8E50322, M89I, B sub-genome
Note: Plants involving 78:D8E50322 in crosses are also segregating for a background striata mutant (green and yellowish-white striped leaves).

## Kronos



20: D8K33412, splice site, B sub-genome; 26: D7K29375, A111T, A sub-genome;
129: D7K36505, stop codon, A sub-genome; 138: D7K32166, H86Y, A sub-genome
Figure 13.
Diagrammatic representation of crosses made between the TILLING mutations identified in the ' A ' and ' B ' sub-genome DEMETER homocologues. Numbers in the grids represent total number of seeds obtained per cross.
where no homo/heterozygous mutant plant could be recovered (Table 6). Immature seeds from the spikes of the homozygous $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ plants were collected and used for RNA extraction to study suppression of DEME$T E R$ transcripts by real-time quantitative PCR (qRTPCR). Out of 19 homozygous $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ plants io showed suppression at transcript level (Fig. II). Ten of the i9 homozygous mutants were also analyzed for their efficiency to prevent synthesis of gliadin and low molecular weight glutenins by protein profiling of mature grains using SDS-PAGE. Interestingly, 4 of the iо analyzed mutants showed elimination of specific bands either from $\omega$ or $\gamma$-gliadin fractions (Fig. 12). Although we do not expect elimination of bands in these mutant lines as they only contain mutations in one of either two or three DEMETER homoeologues in tetraploid or hexaploid wheat, respectively.

Single mutations in ' A ' and ' B ' sub-genome $D E M$ ETER homoelogues of bread and durum wheat identified as above were crossed in combinations to obtain DEMETER double mutants. All crosses were made reciprocally and in duplicates. The $F_{1}$ and later on $F_{2}$ seeds were obtained for 3 and 8 different mutant combinations respectively in Kronos and Express backgrounds (Fig. 13). The mutations for crossing were selected on the basis of type (substitution, splice site variation or premature stop codon), respective location in the DEMETER active site, and level of suppression at transcriptional and translational levels. The $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ grains obtained from the aforementioned crosses were propagated in 48 well flats and are currently being checked for homo-/heterozygous double mutations by PCR followed by sequencing (Fig. I4). The preliminary analysis allowed identification of 20 double mu-

TABLE 6. List of selected mutants checked for their genotypes and zygosities at $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ stage. Immature and mature grains were harvested from the homozygous mutants to be checked for their transcriptional and protein profiles.

| ID | Mutation | Effect | Zygosity determined at $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | \# of plants checked | Zygosity determined at $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Protein | qRT-PCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DME_5A_Kro_36505 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | G354A | W42* | Het | 10 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  | supression |
| DME_5A_Kro_32166 | C642T | H86Y | Het | 20 | Mutant and wildtype | gamma gli |  |
| DME_5A_Kro_17186 | G663A | G93R | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5A_Kro_33322 | G669A | splice <br> junction | Het | 10 | Wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5A_Kro_29375 | G797A | A111T | ? | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5B_Kro_42773 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | G308A | V29M | Hom | 10 | Mutant |  | supression |
| DME_5B_Kro_33412 | G667A | splice <br> junction | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  <br> alpha gli | supression |
| DME_5B_Kro_36182 | C787T | P108L | Hom | 5 | Wildtype |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_20548 | G313A | V29M | Hom | 5 | Mutant |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_12680 | G354A | W42* | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_15945 | G480A | splice <br> junction | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_15246 | G653A | M89I | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_22471 | C658T | T91M | Het | 20 | Mutant and wildtype |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_14773 | G664A | G93E | Het | 20 | Mutant and wildtype |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_46066 | G669A | splice <br> junction | Hom | 5 | Wildtype |  |  |
| DME_5A_Exp_46332 | G795A | R110K | Het | 20 | Wildtype |  |  |


| ID | Mutation | Effect | Zygosity determined at $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | \# of plants checked | Zygosity determined at $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Protein | qRT-PCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DME_5A_Exp_46354 | G669A | splice <br> junction | Hom | 4 | Mutant |  |  |
| DME_5B_Exp_22914 | G307A | splice <br> junction | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5B_Exp_20397 | C500T | Q67* | Hom | 5 | Mutant | omega gli |  |
| DME_5B_Exp_20396 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | C500T | Q67* | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote | omega gli |  |
| DME_5B_Exp_50329 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | G651A | M89I | Hom | 5 | Mutant |  |  |
| DME_5B_Exp_50325 | G651A | M89I | Hom | 5 | Mutant |  |  |
| DME_5B_Exp_50324 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | G651A | M89I | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  | supression |
| DME_5B_Exp_21599 | G661A | G93R | Het | 20 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  | supression |
| DME_5D_Exp_13105 | C221T | L9F | Hom | 2 | Mutant |  |  |
| DME_5D_Exp_49004 | C 278 T | P28S | Hom | 2 | Mutant |  |  |
| DME_5D_EXP_19793 | C273T | A26V | Hom | 2 | Mutant |  |  |
| DME_5D_Exp_22244 | G363A | D30N | Het | 8 | Wildtype |  |  |
| DME_5D_Exp_50449 | G363A | D30N | Het | 6 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5D_Exp_50503 | G197A | E1K | Het | 6 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5D_Exp_51464 | C367T | T31I | Het | 6 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5D_Exp_49066 | C367T | T31I | Het | 6 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |
| DME_5D_Exp_15603 | C203T | L3F | Het | 6 | Mutant, wildtype and heterozygote |  |  |

Red font = stocks showing incorrect genotypes in $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ generation (not corresponding with the genotypes determined in $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ )
Blue font = stocks selected for crossing
$\mathrm{a}=$ striata mutation in background
$b=$ necrotic mutation in background
c = mutation causing supernumerary spikelets in background
$\mathrm{d}=$ mutation causing male sterility in background

TABLE 7. List of $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ plants selected for propagation in glasshouse till maturity for further analysis.

| Express Plant \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DME_5B } \\ & \text { D8E50322, } \\ & \text { M89I, G651A } \\ & \text { [D3] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DME_5A } \\ \text { D7E20548, } \\ \text { V29M,G313A } \\ \text { [D2] } \end{gathered}$ | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | MM | MW | aabb |
| 73 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 80 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 85 | MM | MW | aabb |
| 86 | MM | MW | aabb |
| 96 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 75 | MM | MW | aabb |
| 76 | MW | MW | AaBb |
| 83 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 87 | MW | MW | AaBb |
| 88 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 90 | MW | MW | AaBb |
| 91 | MW | MW | AaBb |
| 94 | MW | MW | AaBb |
| Kronos Plant \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { DME_5B } \\ \text { D8K33412, } \\ \text { splice site, } \\ \text { G667A [D3] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DME_5A } \\ \text { D7K29375, } \\ \text { A111T, G797A } \\ \text { [D3] } \end{gathered}$ | Class |
| 145 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 148 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 175 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 176 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 196 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 204 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 205 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 209* | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 210 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 213 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 218 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 227 | MM | MW | aabb |
| 228 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 238 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 241 | MW | MM | Aabb |
| 244 | MM | MW | aabb |
| 251 | MW | MW | AaBb |
| 253 | MM | MM | aabb |
| 254 | MW | MW | AaBb |
| 256 | MM | MM | aabb |
| *Plant died at seedling stage |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}=\mathrm{HhH}-\mathrm{GPD}$ domain |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}=4 \mathrm{Fe}-4 \mathrm{~S}$ binding domain |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}=$ mutant allele; $\mathrm{W}=$ wild type allele |  |  |  |

tations in Kronos background and I4 double mutants in Express background (Table 7). These selected double mutants were transferred to larger pots and raised till maturity to obtain $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ grains. Spikes of 19 DEME$T E R$ double mutants identified in Kronos background were collected to extract RNA from immature grains followed by qRT-PCR analysis. Results of qRT-PCR showed reduction in DEMETER transcript abundance in relation to Actin and GAPDH in 8 cases. However, we do not expect transcriptional suppression in the double mutants, as single mutants used to obtain these double mutants are either amino acid substitutions or splice site variants, which in principle should not lead to transcriptional suppression. Albeit we expected reduction in amount of immunogenic prolamins accumulated in the endosperm. A very little reduction in amount of immunogenic prolamins was observed with the double mutants, which in all confirmed cases showed pyramiding of amino acid substitutions.

Interestingly, in contrast to the barley high-lysine mutants $D M E$ mutants carrying premature stop codons or splice site variants especially in tetraploid wheat background showed reduction in anther size, locule size, number of fertile pollens, and pollen germination rate (Fig. 15). Reduced level of pollen germination adversely affected competitiveness of the mutant pollen relative to the wild type pollen causing severe segregation distortion. In fact analysis of $>2000$ $F_{2}$ plants from crosses between $A$ and $B$ sub-genome $D M E$ mutants with premature stop codons have so far yielded either single mutants or wild type alleles at both homoeologues. A reduction in seedling vigour of the $D M E$ mutants with stop codons was also observed. Both of these observations indicated the vital role of $D M E$ during microspore/pollen development and seed germination. This suggested an RNAi based approach, expressing DEMETER silencing microRNAs in a spatio-temporal fashion as an alternative.

### 5.3. Transcriptional suppression of DEMETER homoeologues and its influence on prolamin accumulation

A RNA interference based approach was followed to develop DEMETER deficient wheat lines with no in-


Figure 14.
The sequence of events after crossing of DEMETER single mutants obtained in Express and Kronos backgrounds for 'A' and ' B ' sub-genome homocologues. $\mathrm{Na}=$ data not available at the time of reporting. I crossing $=$ first crossing event and II crossing = second crossing event (duplication of the first event).
fluence on other vital plant processes. In order to achieve this objective transformants exclusively expressing $D E M E T E R$ targeting micro/small interfering RNAs in wheat endosperm where developed. Both conventional biolistic and a novel microspore electroporation based approaches were used for this purpose.

### 5.3.1. Transformants obtained using biolistic approach

A total of 333 candidate transformants were obtained by four rounds of biolistic transformations using three constructs expressing artificial microRNAs ( $\mathrm{pRBio4}$, pRBio5 and pRBio6) and two constructs expressing hairpin RNA (p728 and pDRB6). All of these single cassette vectors were co-transformed in 2:I proportion with another single cassette vector ( $\mathrm{pDPG} \mathrm{F}_{5}$ ) expressing the Bar gene under the control of a $35 S$ promoter and a Nos terminator, using biolistic transformation of scutellar calli derived from two soft white winter wheat cultivars Brundage 96 and Simon. The co-transformation provides the opportunity to
eliminate false positives by regeneration of calli on selective media with increasing quantities of herbicide (bialaphos; up to $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). In principle, independent integration of two constructs in the wheat genome provides greater chances of permitting removal of the undesired marker gene by segregation in subsequent generations. The plants recovered from the tissue culture were transferred to soil and vernalized for 8-12 weeks. After vernalization the plants were transplanted to 6 -inch pots, and their leaves painted with $2 \%$ Ignite solution containing the active ingredient bialaphos. Data were recorded for injury on a $0-5$ scale, where o represents no injury and 5 dead tissue. Two weeks after transplantation, leaf tissue was collected from candidate transformants $\left(T_{0}\right)$, and DNA was extracted to study integration of ami/hpRNA expressing the cassettes in the wheat genome. RNA was also extracted from developing $T_{1}$ seeds [harvested at $17 \pm 3$ days post anthesis (DPA)] of the putative transformants. The results of qRT-PCR showed suppression of $D M E$ transcript with an abundance ranging from $3.0 \%$ to $85.2 \%$ in different transformants.


Figure 15 .
Differences observed between the anthers and pollen grains of a splice site variant (a), and a mutant with premature stop codon (b). Size of anthers was reduced to almost half in mutant, ' $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~K}_{3} 655_{5}$ ' carrying a stop codon in A sub-genome DEMETER homoeologue in Kronos background. To determine pollen viability transversely sectioned anthers and isolated pollen grains were stained with a solution containing io mL of $95 \%$ alcohol, r mL of malachite green, 25 mL of glycerol, 5 mL of acid fuchsin, 0.5 mL of Orange G, and 4 mL of glacial acetic acid in 54.5 mL of distilled water. Pollens showing deep magenta colour represent viable pollens. The isolated pollens were also cultured on liquid pollen growth medium (Boavida and McCormick 2007) containing azadecalin-i (a pollentube growth promoting substance). Pollen germination was recorded 3 h after depositing pollen on the growth medium. The pollen grains from splice-site variant 'D8K 33412 ' (mutation in B sub-genome T. durum DME homoeologue) showed removal of operculum and extrusion of pollen tube after 3 h incubation, but no signs of germination was observed in $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~K}_{3} 65 \mathrm{O}_{5}$ pollen grains.

On the basis of the results of PCR and qRT-PCR analysis a set of 52 transformants ( $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ ) was selected for further analysis (Figs. 16 to 18 ). Out of the above 52 plants 50 gave seeds $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ which were used for protein extraction following the protocol described in Wieser et al. (I998) with minor modifications. The three differ-
ent fractions albumins/globulins (salt soluble fraction), gliadins (aqueous alcohol soluble fraction) and glutenins (soluble in aqueous alcohol with reducing agents) were extracted from the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains of each transformant, and analyzed using denaturing SDS-PAGE.

The extracted proteins were first quantified using


Figure 16.
PCR analysis of $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ transformants using $\mathrm{pRBio4}$ and $\mathrm{pRBI}_{5}$ expressing artificial microRNAs designed from the active site of DEMETER with specific primers. $\mathrm{M}=100 \mathrm{bp}$ ladder (New England BioLabs Inc. Cat \# N323IS).

Bradford colorimetric assay followed by quantitative analysis (i.e., by loading equal volume of extracted proteins obtained from equal amount of starting seed material) and qualitative analysis (by loading equimolar amounts of proteins) on gel and/or HPLC. Preliminary results of PAGE analysis and RP-HPLC revealed elimination of specific gliadins (in gamma and alpha/beta fractions) and glutenins (in LMW glutenin fraction) instead of mass eliminations (Fig. I9).

To improve resolution of the analysis 3 HPLC traces (based on retention times) were collected from gliadin fraction and 5 HPLC traces were collected from glutenin fraction, and used for detailed mass spectrometric analysis on MALDI-TOF-MS at the Proteomics Core Facility, WSU, Pullman (Figs. 20 \& 21 ).

Elimination of specific prolamins instead of gross eliminations was attributed to the bulk harvest of all $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains from individual $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ plants, which were most likely chimeric in nature, and have resulted in dilution effect in the protein gels and RP-HPLC profiles. In order to deal with the problem of chimerism we propagated $2,620 \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ progeny plants in glasshouse
and more than three times the number of plants at the Cook Agronomy Farm, WSU, Pullman (Fig. 22).
The $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ plants were sown in glasshouse in 7 batches of eight 48 well flats each, planting was staggered over a period of 7 weeks (from Jul. 14-Aug. 18, 2011). The staggering was done in view of providing enough time to collect leave samples and extract DNA from the previous batch. Each batch included eight 48 well flats corresponding with four 96 well plates. DNA was extracted using Biosprint Plant DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following manufacturer's instructions. Once the leave samples were collected for DNA extraction the flats were transferred to the vernalization chamber (from Aug. 2-Sep. i, 20II) maintained at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for twelve weeks (from Nov. i-Dec. 15 , 20II). The period during vernalization was used for DNA quantification and genotyping, using constructspecific primer to confirm inheritance of transgene integrations (expressing DEMETER silencing hp/ amiRNAs). Analysis using construct specific primers: M13 5'-TCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3' and 3'Phor-Gus-NosR. 2 5'-GATCTAGTAACATA-GATGACACCGC-3' (first 8 cycles at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed

TABLE 8. List of transformants showing faithful inheritance of transgene, results of qRT-PCR on $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains sion on accumulation of gliadins and total amount of immunogenic prolamins (LMWgs and gliadins).

| Genotype | GN | TKW | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{1} \% \\ \text { suppression } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains propagated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10_728 | 1000 | 20.0 | 36.6 | 105 |
| 18_728* | 657 | 22.8 | 65.8 | 66 |
| 17_728* | 1000 | 18.0 | 78.1 | 109 |
| 25_728* | 558 | 16.1 | 51.7 | 56 |
| 36b_728* | 710 | 18.3 | - | 71 |
| 20_728 | 175 | 22.9 | - | 18 |
| 26_728 | 143 | 21.0 | 77.1 | 14 |
| 29_728 | 662 | 27.2 | - | 66 |
| 30_728 | 0 | - | - | - |
| 46_728* | 352 | 14.2 | 85.2 | 35 |
| 28_728* | 166 | 12.0 | 54.9 | 16 |
| 17b_728* | 106 | 9.4 | 79.9 | 10 |
| 19_728* | 784 | 19.1 | 78.4 | 79 |
| 22_728* | 594 | 18.5 | - | 60 |
| 38_728* | 622 | 27.3 | 55.5 | 62 |
| 16_728 | 666 | 19.5 | 4.6 | 66 |
| 30b_728 | 126 | 7.9 | 16.4 | 13 |
| 40_728 | 561 | 28.5 | 3.0 | 56 |
| 140_728 | 891 | 23.6 | 10.6 | 89 |
| 34_728 | 142 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 14 |
| 29b_728 | 494 | 16.2 | 62.6 | 50 |

*HPLC analysis was also conducted on the gliadin and glutenin fractions derived from $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains.
[Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
by 38 cycles at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in case of $\mathrm{pRBr}^{2} 4 / \mathrm{IO5} / \mathrm{ro6}$ transformed plants, and Pri_-5 5'-TGTTCTTTCCT-GCGTTATGCCC-3' and Pri_r6 $5^{\prime}$-TGTCACCTAAATAGC TTGGCGAAT- 3 ' (annealing temperature $=58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in case of p728 transformed plants, showed faithful inheritance of transgene in a total of 522 cases (Figs. 16 \& 17). Out of 522 cases 242 represent $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~s}$ expressing one of the three amiRNAs (namely pRBio4, $\mathrm{pRBio}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{pRBio6}$ ), and 280 represent $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~s}$ expressing the hpRNA ( p 728 ). The $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ plants showing inheritance of transgene integration(s) in hemi-/homozygous state were transferred to individual pots and the spikes carrying immature $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains
(collected after ${ }^{17 \pm 3}$ days post anthesis) were collected from glasshouse to analyze for transcriptional suppression of DEMETER homocologues. And the mature $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains were collected from these transformants to study effect of DEMETER suppression/silencing on prolamin accumulation.

DNA analysis of the $T_{1}$ plants showed faithful inheritance of the transgene in 2.8 to $71.7 \%$ of progeny plants belonging to different transformants (Figs. i6 \& 17). A wide range of plants showing transgene inheritance in the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ progeny confirmed chimeric origin of these plants. Similarly the results of qRT-PCR analysis performed on the cDNAs prepared from the im-
and data collected on grain number (GN) and thousand kernel weight (TKW). Effect of DEMETER suppres-

| PCR +ve | $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~s}$ studied | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{2} \% \\ \text { suppression } \end{gathered}$ | \% reduction gliadins | \% reduction LMW+gliadin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 (51.4\%) | 52 | 57.2-85.6 | 56.3-74.8 | 60.4-68.0 |
| 13 (19.7\%) | 13 | 71.9 | 61.5 | 63.1 |
| 10 (9.2\%) | 10 | 78.4 | - | - |
| 6 (10.7\%) | 6 | 73.5 | 59.9 | 65.6 |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 (50\%) | - | - | - | - |
| 2 (14.3\%) | 1 | - | - | - |
| 3 (4.5\%) | 3 | 71.3 | 67.6 | 64.1 |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 (28.6\%) | 10 | 81.7 | 76.0 | - |
| 10 (62.5\%) | 6 | 78.2 | 68.8 | 68.0 |
| 7 (70\%) | 6 | 68.0 | 79.4 | 70.6 |
| 50 (63.3\%) | 50 | 78.6-83.9 | 43.1-76.4 | 56.2-76.4 |
| 43 (71.7\%) | 36 | 75.0-76.7 | 66.1-77.5 | 63.1-69.3 |
| 19 (30.6\%) | 13 | - | - | - |
| 5 (7.6\%) | - | - | - | - |
| 7 (53.8\%) | 4 | - | - | - |
| 3 (5.4\%) | 3 | - | - | - |
| 17 (19.1\%) | 17 | 59.9-82.6 | 25.3-71.5 | 45.2-74.1 |
| 6 (42.9\%) | 6 | 75.1 | 67.5 | 64.9 |
| 11 (22\%) | 10 | 74.5-74.7 | 67.2-71.4 | - |

mature $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains belonging to different transformant families showed a wide suppression range from $3.5 \%$ to $85.6 \%$ (Table 8 ; Appendix 2 ).

The selected transformants showing suppression in $D M E$ transcript abundance close to or more than $50 \%$ were examined for the accumulation of immunogenic prolamins in their endosperm by sequential extraction of seed storage proteins followed by SDSPAGE and RP-HPLC. The PAGE and HPLC results revealed that different transformants show elimination of different prolamin groups (Figs. 23-26), for instance $\mathrm{P}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3 \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{D}_{12}$, progeny of $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ transformant $10-728$ showed reduced accumulation of $\alpha$-glia-
dins respectively by 56.2 and $37.6 \%$ and $\gamma$-gliadins by $21.8 \%$ and $20.7 \%$. These lines also show reduced accumulation for LMWgs, which together with gliadins account for $-67 \%$ reduction in the amount of total immunogenic prolamins (Appendix 2). However a slight increase in the amount of $\omega$-gliadins and a significant increase in the amount of HMWgs was also observed in these lines, which will be beneficial in maintaining the rheological properties of these lines (Fig. 23). Not only reduction in amount of immunogenic prolamins but also total elimination of specific gliadins and/or LMWgs was also observed in different transformants (Figs. 24-26).


Figure 17 .
PCR-based confirmation of transgene integration in putative transformants obtained by biolistic transformation of scutellar calli with DEMETER silencing hairpin construct (p728). Primers used for analysis are Pri-15 and -16 (see below). M = 100 bp ladder (NEB Cat \# N323IS). [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]

The level of reduction for immunogenic prolamins (LMWgs and gliadins) calculated using the area covered by specific prolamin groups on the HPLC chromatograms ranged from $45 \cdot 2-76.4 \%$, and used as independent variable to regress on the values obtained for $D M E$ transcript level expressed as percent suppression (Table 8; Appendix 2). The two variables regressed very well on each other with a $r^{2}$ value of o. 877 suggesting $87.7 \%$ correspondence among the two variables indicating that level of $D M E$ transcript determines the amount and type of immunogenic prolamins accumulated in the wheat grain (Fig. 27).

In summary, the qRT-PCR analysis with immature $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains followed by protein profiling with 3 individual mature grains per transformant showed reduced accumulation of immunogenic prolamins in 48 transformants expressing amiRNAs (representing 22 transformation events) and 50 transformants expressing hpRNA (representing i3 transformation
events). Out of these 98 transformants ig $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~s}$ (12 expressing amiRNA and 7 expressing hpRNA) representing a total of $I_{5}$ transformation events (io with amiRNA and 5 with hpRNA), showed highest level of reduction in the amount of immunogenic prolamins, which were selected for propagation in glasshouse (Fig. 28a, b). Whereas a larger set of $92 \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{~s}$ representing 30 transformation events are currently under propagation at the Cook Agronomy Farm WSU, Pullman. In view of multiple integrations and zygosity of transgene at each integration site ten rows with io grains per $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ was propagated in field and io grains per $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ were propagated in glasshouse. The plants propagated in glasshouse will be converted to doubled haploids (DHs) using the microspore culture method standardized in our laboratory. The plants are expected to attain the desired developmental stage (i.e., Feeke's stage io-io.i) for microspore collection by early May 2013. Once obtained each DH line will be evaluated for its prolamin content us-


Figure 18.
Phenotypic (seed morphology) and expression level differences (by qRT-PCR; normalized to Actin) observed in different transformants in comparison with what the control plants (Brundage 96) have shown.
ing SDS-/A-PAGE followed by RP-HPLC. The DH lines showing elimination of different prolamin families will be crossed to pyramid their effect in a single genotype.

With the current transformation procedures there is no control on the number and site of transgene integrations, which determine the level of transgene expression, and in this particular case, expression of DEMETER silencing hpRNA/amiRNAs and its concomitant influence on the accumulation of prolamins. In addition from our previous experience working with wheat and barley transformations we observed that the desired transformation events usually occur in a proportion of I in roo. Thus, it is necessary to screen more transformants in order to identify desired transformation event(s) with highly reduced prola$\min (\leq 90 \%)$ levels. It has been demonstrated in sev-
eral previous studies that in-general celiac patients can tolerate 20 to $100 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ gluten in their diets, thus according to the latest recommendations of the Codex Alimentarius Commission any food item containing 20 ppm gluten can be treated as 'zero gluten' or 'gluten free'.

In view of the above we screened 175 additional transformants including 62 and ${ }^{113} \mathrm{~T}_{0}$ s respectively expressing hpRNA and amiRNAs targeting wheat DEMETER homocologues. RNA was extracted from the immature $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains and DEMETER transcript suppression was observed in 74 cases including 45 transformants expressing hpRNA and 29 expressing amiRNAs (Fig. 22). As we are aware that the plants obtained using the biolistic approach suffers from chimerism (i.e., the whole plant is not evenly transformed) individual spikes from each plant were collected separate-


Figure 19.
SDS-PAGE gel and RP-HPLC analysis of gliadin fraction extracted from the mature grains of various transformants and Brundage 96 (first lane in gel). Specific proteins eliminated in transformants were boxed on the PAGE gel and were marked with arrowhead on the HPLC profile.


Figure 20.
Mass spectrum of HPLC fraction 3 of gliadins ( $\gamma$-gliadins) extracted from Brundage96, 16 B (pRBı5) and 44B (pRBı5).
ly. And seeds from 2 spikes per transformant were initially screened for their prolamin profiles (Fig. 29a-h). The initial screen showed reduction in amount of prolamins in 15 transformants expressing hpRNA and 9 transformants expressing amiRNAs. Seeds from the remaining spikes of these selected transformants are currently being analyzed for their prolamin profiles. And seeds from the selected spikes will be propagated in glasshouse to obtain $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains.
5.3.2. Transformants obtained using microsporeelectroporation based approach
The $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains of the transformants produced using microspore-electroparation approach were screened for the accumulation of immunogenic gliadins using A-PAGE. In the previous experiments conducted us-
ing the constructs expressing different marker genes encoding endochitinase, $\beta$-glucuronidase (GUS) and green fluorescence protein (GFP) we observed that the doubled haploid transformants also suffer from the problem of chimerism. This is unexpected because the calli regenerating into a plant are expected to derive from a single cell (microspore). However, in wheat the harvested embryogenic microspores turned out to be a population of uni/bi-nucleate microspores with generative and vegetative nuclei that could lead to chimerism. Since we have not applied any antibiotic selection during propagation of transfected microspores, it is possible that nascent embryonic cells formed after a few divisions, in the absence of selection pressure, produced cells lacking the foreign DNA fragment (carrying gene encoding for DEMETER si-


Figure 21.
Mass spectrum of HPLC fraction 5 of glutenins (including low molecular weight glutenins) extracted from Brundage96, 16B (pRBio5) and B44 (pRBio5).
lencing amiRNA) and led to the formation of chimeric calli, but the exact reason behind chimerism is unknown and requires more research.

In view of the above we harvested I - 27 spike separately from each of the $18 \mathrm{~T}_{0}$ transformants, and analyzed them individually for transgene integration and prolamin content. These transformants are in three different genetic backgrounds, two hard red spring wheat cultivars Hollis and WB926 and one hard red winter wheat cultivar Farnum. Microspores derived from these varieties were induced and electroporated with pRBio5 construct encoding DEMETER silencing amiRNA. Out of the $18 \mathrm{~T}_{0} \mathrm{~s}$ reduced accumulation of gliadins was observed in I-7 spikes collected from II $\mathrm{T}_{0} \mathrm{~s}$ (Fig. 30).

## 6. Conclusion

Analysis of prolamin gene promoters from wheat revealed similar pattern of differential regulation in LMW glutenins and gliadins by active demethylation in the developing endosperm and of HMW glutenins via expression of specific transcription factors. This similarity in transcriptional regulation of the prolamin genes prompted us to characterize mutations in the Lyss candidate gene DEMETER. Efforts to pyramid $D M E$ mutants to obtain double and triple mutants respectively in tetraploid and hexaploid wheats revealed that $D M E$ serves a vital role in pollen development and seed germination. In view of that an RNAi based approach was adapted to silence


Figure 22.
Current status of research on wheat transformants expressing DEMETER silencing hpRNA or amiRNAs in the developing endosperm.
$D M E$ homoeologues exclusively during endosperm development. The reduction of the immunogenic gluten proteins has reached in some transformants $76.4 \%$ indicating that a complete hereditary elimination is achievable. When wheat plants with complete elimination of the immunogenic storage proteins has been achieved analysis of the gliadin-specific T-cells will be carried out as previously agreed with Dr. L.M. Sollid.
[Note: Dr. Sollid's laboratory is one of the few who maintains the T-cell cultures. He does however not like to carry out analysis before at least $90 \%$ elimination of the immunogenic proteins has approached.]

## 7. Objective 3

Post-transcriptional silencing of the members of four prolamin families, simultaneously, using RNA interference (RNAi).

## 8. Results

For the post-transcriptional silencing of 71-79 immunogenic prolamins a novel hairpin comprised of a chimeric stem derived from small interfering RNAs each selected from the sequence alignment of the members of the individual prolamin families, and a Ioo bp loop derived from the second intron of wheat TAKI4 gene was synthesized (Fig. 3I). Later the efficiency of this chimeric hairpin was tested by cloning it in the $\gamma$ sub-genome of the barley streak mosaic vi-


Figure 23.
Reverse phase high performance chromatography (RP-HPLC) and sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of gliadins and glutenin fractions extracted from the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains of the two progeny plants ( $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{ID}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ ) of transformant 10-728 (Table 8) expressing DME silencing hairpin RNA and the wild-type 'Brundage 96 ' ( B 96 ). A random sample of $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains with their respective thousand kernel weights (TKW) was shown at right hand side. [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
rus (BSMV), and by infiltrating the recombinant disarmed BSMV into the flag leaves of the wheat cultivar Chinese Spring. The results of this transient transformation also known as virus induced gene silencing (VIGS) showed reduced accumulation of gliadins and LMW glutenins in the BSMV infested plants (Fig. 3I). The hairpin was also provided with the endosperm specific HMW glutenin $I D y$ promoter and nos terminator, and introduced into the wheat genome by biolistic approach or via microspore electroporation based transformation method. The $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains of the selected transformants are currently being examined for their prolamin content. However, the preliminary analyses of the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains for the prolamin content showed very encouraging results (Fig. 31).

## 9. Conclusion

The results of transient and stable transformation analyses suggested that chimeric hairpin constructs can be successfully used to silence gene families, and adequate screening of putative transformants will allow identification of transformants showing com-plete/near-complete elimination of immunogenic prolamins.

## Io. Objective 4

Proline/glutamine rich peptides containing T-cell stimulating epitopes of wheat can be detoxified by prolyl endopeptidases and the barley cysteine endoprotease EP-B in vitro and in vivo as shown with rat


Figure 24.
RP-HPLC and SDS-PAGE gel of gliadins and glutenin fractions extracted from the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains of P68E2 (progeny of 140-728) and wild-type Brundage 96 showing specific eliminations and/or reductions in the amount of g-gliadins and LMW glutenins with a compensatory increase in the amount of $\omega$-gliadins and HMW glutenins. [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
intestinal perfusion experiments. We want to test, if it is possible to synthesize these enzymes in transgenic wheat during endosperm development, target the enzymes into the storage vacuoles and detoxify the prolamins during grain development. We have shown with specific monoclonal antibodies and a knock-out mutant of B-hordeins that the HMW glutenin (D hordein) is stored in a different compartment of the storage vacuole than the other prolamins. A codonoptimized microbial prolyl endopeptidase and the barley cysteine protease will be employed towards this end. The interesting biological challenge is to obtain detoxification by limited proteolysis of the gliadin and glutelin proteins without destroying the re-silin/elastin-like structure of HMW glutenin. Proof of concept for this possibility has been obtained with the $C$ hordein of barley. Expression of this prolamin in $E$.
coli and its purification and folding into a native conformation permitted the determination of the cleavage sites for the cysteine endoprotease EP-B. A mutant C hordein lacking the primary cleavage sites for EP-B proved highly resistant to degradation by the enzyme. HMW glutenin can also be expressed in $E$. coliand folded into its native conformation. Thus producing a recombinant enzyme-resistant mutant HMW glutenin lacking the celiac epitopes seems feasible and its expression in transgenic wheat will be attempted.

## ir. Results

The following paragraphs describe experiments performed to identify the best combination of enzymes from the so far characterized endoprotease and endo-


Figure 25.
RP-HPLC and SDS-PAGE gel of gliadins and glutenin fractions extracted from the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains of $\mathrm{P}_{42} \mathrm{G}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{42} \mathrm{G}_{5}$ (progeny of $19-728$ ) and wild-type Brundage 96 showing significant reduction in the amount of all gliadins. [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
peptidase by comparing the virtual digestion profiles of available prolamin sequences using each one of them under simulated gastric conditions. The enzymes selected based on the above analysis and published information, were expressed in wheat endosperm under the control of HMW glutenin promoter. In view of the risk of thermal denaturation of enzymes during the baking process the native proteases and peptidases were modified by site-directed mutagenesis to obtain enzymes with high thermal stability.

In the light of research conducted in the last four years the proposed experiments of engineering and expressing recombinant enzyme-resistant mutant HMW glutenin subunits appears unnecessary, as we now know that the barley endoprotease $B_{2}$ is synthe-
sized as a proenzyme. And the propeptide or prosegment serves as both inhibitor and chaperone to respectively facilitate spatiotemporal regulation of the proteolytic activity and proper folding of the protease. Both of these properties are of high significance for the current project because it will check degradation of the prolamins in the protein-bodies within the grains, and also in flour during the dough making process. The propeptide inhibits enzyme activity in basic, neutral and slightly acidic conditions and the acidic $\mathrm{pH}(\leq 4)$ is required for the removal of propeptide by an autocatalytic reaction. As the pH of mature grains in dry conditions (close to neutral) and of the dough (leavened with yeast $\sim 6$, sourdough $\sim 5.5$, or without a leavening agent $\sim 6.5$ ) is higher than the pH required for the auto-activation of enzyme no


Figure 26.
RP-HPLC and SDS-PAGE gel of gliadins and glutenin fractions extracted from the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains of $\mathrm{P}_{43} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ (progeny of 22-728) and $\mathrm{P}_{27} \mathrm{Al}_{12}$ (progeny of $\mathrm{r}_{7} \mathrm{~b}-728$ ), and wild-type Brundage 96 respectively showing significant reduction in the amount of $\alpha / \beta$ - and $\gamma$-gliadins and $\gamma$-gliadins alone with compensatory increase in amount of $\omega$-gliadins. [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]
degradation of prolamins is expected. Similarly, the propeptide that serves as intramolecular chaperone is expected to refold the enzyme to its native form after thermal denaturation during the baking process, thus essentially no loss of enzyme activity is expected.

## II.I. Virtual digestion of prolamins with endopeptidases and endoprotease under simulated gastro-intestinal conditions

A total of ${ }^{1} 33{ }^{6}$ prolamin sequences including wheat $\alpha / \beta$ - ( 15 I ), g- (272) and $\omega^{-}$(I3) gliadins, LMW- (457) and HMW- (318) glutenins, barley B- (26), C- (22), $\gamma$ (30) and D-hordeins (4), and rye g/w- (26) and


Figure 27.
Reseults of simple linear regression analysis performed using persent supression in DEMETER transctipt abundance as indipendent variable ( x -axis) and persent reduction in the amount of immunogenic prolamins (all gliadins and LMW glutenins) as dependent variable ( y -axis). [Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]

HMW- (17) secalins were virtually digested under simulated gastric conditions with barely EP-B2 or a mixture of wheat endopeptidases followed by FMPEP or AN-PEP treatment. Virtual digestion with pepsin, trypsin and chymotrypsin left significantly large number of peptides with ${ }^{3}$ Io residues undigested. The length of peptides left undigested ranged from 2-I44 residues for $\gamma$-gliadins followed in order by $\omega$-gliadins (2-132 residues), HMW-glutenins (2-122 residues), $\alpha / \beta$-gliadins ( 2 -II9 residues) and LMW-glutenins ( $\mathrm{I}-70$ residues) (Fig. 32). Length of proteolytically resistant hordein peptides fall within the range of undigested peptides reported for wheat prolamins. For instance, the length of proteolytically resistant hordein peptides ranged from 2-130 residues for D hordeins, 2-if8 residues for C-hordeins and 2-74 residues for B - and $\gamma$-hordeins. However, $\gamma / \omega$-secalins were among least properly digested prolamins leaving large peptides up to 339 residues undigested, in comparison with HMW-secalins (2-99 residues) and other prolamins from wheat and barley.

It has been documented in literature that the optimal length of peptides stimulating T-cell response is 10-15 residues (Vader et al., 2002; Dewar et al., 2004). Thus, any peptide with to or more residues in length would potentially elicit immune response. The proteolytically resistant peptides when compared with $5^{8}$ immunogenic peptides documented in literature (Appendix 3), encountered 413 cases showing similarity in $\gamma$-gliadins, 179 cases in $\alpha / \beta$-gliadins, and 2 cases in $\omega$ gliadins. Similarly, 17 cases were encountered in $\gamma$ - and $\omega$-secalins. However, the number of immunogenicpeptides detected in each group is biased as most of the studies conducted so far were based on wheat $\alpha / \beta$ , $\gamma$ - and $\omega$-gliadins, thus listing only the immunogenic peptides underlying these gliadins. We believe that a systematic study conducted for immunogenic-peptides underlying other prolamins will significantly add to the repertoire of immunogenic peptides.

Prolamins digested with barley EP-B2 or a mixture of wheat endoproteases significantly reduce the size of proteolytically resistant peptides leading to great re-


## Gliadins





Figure 28. Part 1
Gliadin and glutenin profiles of selected $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{I}}$ transformants showing reduced accumulation of gliadins and LMW glutenins in their grains.
duction in the number of immunogenic peptides, leaving only 76 out of 179 immunogenic peptides detected in $\alpha / \beta$-gliadins and I out of 2 immunogenic peptides detected in $\omega$-gliadins (Fig. 33). Although wheat endoproteases work better on $\gamma$ and $\omega$-gliadins, but for the rest EP-B2 shows superior activity. This suggests that native enzymes work better on the respective storage proteins, which complies with the fact that these enzymes evolved specifically to degrade the storage proteins during germination. In view of the results of the virtual digestion, and considering the fact that EP-B2 is one of the best-characterized endoproteases from Triticeae, it has been proposed as a component of combined therapy (Siegel et al., 2006). Both Flavobacterium meningosepticum prolyl endopeptidase (FM-PEP) and Aspergillus niger prolyl endoprotease (AN-PEP) were equally active on the peptides obtained after EP-
$B_{2}$ or wheat endoproteases treatment under simulated gastric conditions, except for $\omega$-gliadins where FMPEP performed better than AN-PEP (Fig. 32). In view of the results of in silico analysis we undertook a transgenic approach to express barley EP-B2 and FM-PEP in large quantities in wheat endosperm to detoxify immunogenic gluten proteins.

## II.2. Transformation of wheat scutellar calli

## ri.2.I. Plasmid construction

In order to produce transgenic wheat expressing $F$. meningosepticum prolyl endopeptidase (FM-PEP) and barley EP-B2 two individual gene constructs were prepared. The first construct has FM-PEP cloned under the control of wheat $I D y$ high molecular weight glutenin (HMWg) promoter and Ag. tumefaciens nopa-


Figure 28. Part 2
line synthase (nos) terminator. In order to assure sequestering of the recombinant protein in the protein bodies, D-hordein signal peptide was synthesized in frame with the gene (Fig. 34a). The gene encoding for FM-PEP (Accession number M8146r) was codon-optimized for codon usage in monocotyledonous plants preferring a GC content over $60 \%$. The D-hordein signal peptide was added at the beginning of the gene, with restriction sites for $A p a \mathrm{I}$ and $S a c \mathrm{I}$ to assist its cloning. The gene was synthesized from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ ), cloned into $\mathrm{pUC}_{57}$ between EcoRI and SalI restriction sites, and cloned into $\mathrm{DH}_{5} \alpha$ cells. Plasmid DNA isolated from transformed cells was digested with $A p a \mathrm{I} / S a c \mathrm{I}$ and the resulting fragment was ligated into plasmid $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ nos, previously digested with the same restriction enzymes to achieve plasmid $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ Fmen + nos, and transformed into $\mathrm{DH}_{5} \alpha$ cells. DNA was extracted from the transformed cells
and the resulting plasmid was verified by restriction digestion with appropriate enzymes and sequencing at DNA Sequencing Core, WSU, Pullman.

The second construct that encodes for barley cysteine endoprotease EP-B2 (Accession number U19384) was cloned under the same promoter and terminator as before (Fig. 34b). Amplification of the gene was performed using genomic DNA extracted from cv. Golden Promise with following primers capable of introducing non-template specific restriction sites at the $5^{\prime}(X h o \mathrm{I})$ and $3^{\prime}(K p n \mathrm{I})$ ends of the PCR product: 5'EP-B2 propeptide/XhoI GCGCTCGAGATCCCGATGGAGGACAAGGACC and 3 'EP-B2/ $K p n \mathrm{I}$ GCGGGTACCCAGTGACTCCCTGGCTCCAAGGG (Bethune et al., 2006). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed on thermocycler Ciooo (BioRad, USA) using Ex-Taq DNA polymerase (Takara, Madison, WI), in a $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction

TABLE 9. Constructs used for transformation.

| Construct* | Promoter | Genes | Types |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pDPG165** | $35 S$ | Bar | Circular |
| pHMWg+Fmen+nos | 1 Dy high molecular <br> weight glutenin | F. meningosepticum prolyl <br> endopeptidase (FM-PEP) | Circular |
| pHMWg+EP-B2+nos | 1 Dy high molecular <br> weight glutenin | Barley endoprotease B2 <br> (EP-B2) | Circular |
| pBSK+(HMWg+Fmen+ <br> nos/HMWg+EP-B2+nos) | 1 Dy high molecular <br> weight glutenin | FM-PEP + EP-B2 | Linear |

* plasmids pDPGi65, ${ }^{2} H M W g+$ Fmen + nos and $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ EP-B2+nos were co-transformed in 1:2:2 molar ratio, and plasmid pDPGi 65 and $\mathrm{pBSK}+(\mathrm{HMWg}+$ Fmen+nos/HMWg+EP-B2+nos) were co-transformed in I:2 molar ratio.
** pDPGi65 - expressing Bar gene conferring resistance for bialaphos was described in Gordon-Kamm et al. (1990)

TABLE io. List of primers used for amplification of barley endoprotease $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and to confirm transgene integration(s) in wheat genome.

| Primer | Sequence (5'-3') | Product size (bp) | Purpose |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| proEP-B2/XhoI* | F: GCGCTCGAGATCGCGATGGAGGACAAGGACC | 1047 | gene amplification |
| EP-B2/KpnI | R: GCGGGTACCCAGTGACTCCCTGGCTCCAAGGG |  |  |
| EPB2 | F: GCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGTAA |  |  |
| R: CTTGATGTACTCGAAGGCGTTGT | 594 | gene integration |  |
| Fmen | F: TGGGACCCCAAGTTCTC | 927 | gene integration |

* Bethune et al. (2006)
volume using manufacturer's instructions. Following PCR conditions were used for amplification: initial melting at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min followed by $3{ }^{\circ}$ cycles at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec} ; 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes with a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{A}_{5} \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot of the PCR product was subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis ( $\%$ gel, IIOV, Ih) to confirm amplification of expected size PCR product. Once the product was confirmed by gel electrophoresis the remaining $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the product was purified with Geneclean III kit, (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH) following manufacturer's instructions and the purified product was digested with $X h o \mathrm{I}$ and $K p n \mathrm{I}$. After the heat inactivation
of enzymes, the product was ligated into plasmid $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ nos, as described above to obtain plasmid $\mathrm{pHMWg}+\mathrm{EP}-\mathrm{B}_{2}+$ nos, and was transformed into $\mathrm{DH}_{5} \alpha$ cells. Plasmids were extracted from the selected clones and verified by restriction digestion followed by sequencing. After confirmation, midi preps of both plasmids were obtained using NucleoBond ${ }^{\circledR}$ Xtra Midi/Midi Plus kit (Macherey-Nagel, Bethlehem, PA), following manufacturer's instructions. The DNA concentrations of these preps were adjusted at I. $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each of the preps were used to transform scutellar calli of wheat cultivar Brundage $9^{6}$, using particle bombardment. The co-transforma-


Figure 29a-h.
Gliadin and glutenin profiles of transformants ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains) selected for propagation in glasshouse. Wild type (Brundage ${ }^{96}$ ) chromatograms are shown in blue.
tions were performed using following plasmids pHMWg+Fmen+nos: $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ EP-B2+nos: $\mathrm{pDPG}^{2} 65$ in a ratio of 2:2:I. The construct pDPGi65 contains Bar gene confers resistance against bialaphos allowed selection of calli on bialaphos containing media.

## ri.2.2. Double gene construct

In order to avoid integration of plasmid backbone into the host genome, a third linear construct was prepared to transform calli. This construct has both genes with their corresponding promoters and termi-
nators and is totally devoid of the plasmid backbone. Assemble of the above construct involves the following steps: i) Plasmid $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ Fmen + nos was digested with HindIII/EcoRI and ligated overnight at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with pBluescriptSK(+) backbone, and the resultant plasmid was used to transform $\mathrm{DH}_{5} \alpha$ cells followed by blue/white-screening. Selected white colonies were analyzed by PCR and restriction digestion; DNA from positive colonies was extracted as described earlier, and the resultant plasmid pBSK (+) $\mathrm{HMWg}^{+}$ Fmen+nos was verified by sequencing. ii) The second

step involves production of $\mathrm{pGEM}(\mathrm{HMWg}+\mathrm{EP}$ $\mathrm{B}_{2}+$ nos $)$ plasmid. The fragment was amplified from pHMWg+EP-B2+nos using specific primers in a 25 ml reaction volume as described above. The PCR product was ligated into pGEM vector, using 5ong of backbone, 200ng of PCR product and 125 units of $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase. Reaction was incubated for 16 hours at ${ }^{1} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the resultant plasmid was used to transform $\mathrm{DH}_{5} \alpha$ cells. Positive colonies carrying the plasmid pGEM(HMWg+EP-B2+nos) were selected on IPTG, $x$-GAL, ampicillin plates, DNA was extracted using Machinerey-Nagel miniprep kit and positive colonies were confirmed by PCR and by restriction
digestion with suitable enzymes. The fragment containing $\mathrm{HMWg}+\mathrm{EP}-\mathrm{B}_{2}+$ nos was excised from plasmid pGEM(HMWg+EPB2+nos) with EcoRI and ligated into vector $\mathrm{pBSK}(+) \mathrm{HMWg}+\mathrm{Fm}$ en + nos predigested with the same restriction enzymes, and $5 \mu$ of the resultant plasmid was used to transform $\mathrm{DH}_{5} \alpha$ cells. Plasmids from positive colonies were extracted and confirmed by restriction analysis followed by sequencing (Fig. 34c). DNA of the confirmed plasmid pBSK (+)(HMWg+Fmen+nos/HMWg+EP-B2+nos) was digested with HindIII and NotI, and the product was subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis ( $\mathrm{I} \% \mathrm{gel}$, ih, inOV). The corresponding 6kb band was excised

and purified using GenecleanIII kit. The concentration of DNA was adjusted at $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ with the help of freez drier, and $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the above DNA was used to transform scutellar calli of wheat cv. Brundage 96 .

## II.2.3. Transformation procedure

The engineered plasmids were cotransformed in a 2:2:I or 2:I molar ratios (Table 9) into immature embryos of winter wheat variety Brundage 96 by microprojectile bombardment as described before (Okubara et al., 2002) except that both shoot regeneration media and rooting media contained $1.5 \mathrm{mg} /$ liter bialaphos to select the transformants. The putative transformants thus obtained were later on trans-
ferred to selective media with increasing quantities of herbicide (bialaphos; up to $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) to reduce the number of false positives. The leaves of putative transformants at 4-5 leave stage were painted with a $2 \%$ Basta solution and evaluated for injury after IO-I $^{2}$ days on a o-5 scale with o being no symptoms and 5 being extensive necrosis and/or dead.
II.2.4. PCR based confirmation of transformants After four weeks on rooting media, the plants were transferred to soil, covered with a plastic lid for a week and kept under controlled conditions. After four weeks leaf samples were collected and DNA was extracted using Biosprint Plant DNA extraction kit

(Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following manufacturer's instructions. Candidate transformants were tested by PCR using gene-specific primers to confirm transgene integration(s) (Table io). Positive plants identified from the assay were transferred to individual pots, and allowed to mature. As discussed earlier in view of the chimerism problem ro\% of the grains from the plants showing transgene integration(s) were shown in glasshouse to assure transgene inheritance to next generation.

### 11.2.5. Sequencing of PCR products

Bands of expected sizes were excised from the agarose gel and DNA was eluted from the bands using Geneclean kit following manufacturer's instructions (MP

Biomedicals). The eluted DNA was used as template for the sequencing reaction using either forward or reverse primers in separate reactions. Sequencing reactions were carried out in io $\mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction mixtures, each containing roo ng template DNA, o. $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of either forward or reverse primers, and BigDye ${ }^{\circledR}$ mixture (Applied Biosystems) using the following PCR profile: $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for о $\mathrm{sec}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for ${ }_{5} \mathrm{sec}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 min with 24 iterations. Nucleotide sequences were edited using the DNAStar (Lasergene Software).

## iI.2.6. Results of transformation

Particle bombardment of wheat scutellar calli resulted in a total of gI candidate transformants that survived bialaphos treatment. Out of the 9 r candidate transfor-

mants 54 were transformed with pDPGi65: $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ Fmen+nos: $\mathrm{pHMWg}+$ EP-B2+nos used in a molar ratio of $\mathrm{I}: 2: 2$, and 37 were transformed with pDPGi65: $\mathrm{pBSK}^{+}\left(\mathrm{HMWg}+\right.$ Fmen + nos $/ \mathrm{HMWg}^{2}+\mathrm{EP}-$ B2+nos) used in a molar ratio of $\mathrm{I}: 2$. When screened using gene specific primers (Table io) to confirm transgene integration(s), 20 putative transformants showed integration(s) only for $\mathrm{pHMWg}+\mathrm{Fmen}+$ nos, 4 showed integration(s) only for $\mathrm{pHMWg}+\mathrm{EP}-\mathrm{B} 2+$ nos and 6 plants showed integrations for both (Fig. 35a,b). Out of the six plants showing integrations for both genes 2 plants were obtained using the double cassette linear construct and 4 were obtained using co-transformation of single cassette circular constructs. Results of the PCR analyses were validated by sequenc-
ing of the PCR products obtained from the positive transformants, all of the products showed perfect similarity with the genes used for transformation, further confirming the transgene integration(s). These results suggested that the minimal gene cassettes lacking the vector backbone, functions as efficiently as the conventional vectors for wheat transformation, as was earlier documented for rice by Thi Loc et al. (2002).

Lines showing transgene integration(s) were raised to maturity, and the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains were collected. Ten percent of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ grains per $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ plant were propagated in glasshouse and inheritance of transgene was studied. So far $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ progeny of $4 \mathrm{~T}_{0}$ transformants was screened for the inheritance of Fm-PEP integration(s), where $32.6 \%$ to $92.8 \%$ the progeny plants were found to show faithful

inheritance of the transgene. Sequencing of PCR product from oo randomly selected $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~s}$ also confirmed the inheritance to FmPEP in these wheat transformants. The $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ plants showing faithful inheritance of the Fm-PEP will be screened for the inheritance of EPB 2 and will be raised to maturity to obtain $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains, which will be assayed for the enzyme activity.

### 11.3. Site-directed mutagenesis of 'glutenases'

## II.3.I. Flavobacterium meningosepticum prolyl endopeptidase (Fm-PEP)

Due to the availability of prolyl endopeptidase (PEP) sequences from a number of thermophilic archaea and bacteria a sequence-based approach was followed
to improve thermostability of the Fm-PEP. The se-quence-based approach relies on conservation of sequence among related enzymes, and identification of motifs/domains contributing to the functional similarity among these enzymes. Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis (dendrogram construction) was used to explore amino acid conservation and ancestral relationships among the group of homologous protein sequences.

The enzymes from different sources were identified by the BLAST P (protein blast) searches against NCBI nr (non-redundant) protein database using $P y$ rococcusfuriosus (a thermophilic archaea) PEP sequence as query. The aim of this search was to find all possible candidate PEPs from thermophilic organisms to

compare with Fm-PEP sequence, and to find out residues showing differences among Fm-PEP and the PEPs derived from thermophilic organisms. Later, sequence conservation among allegedly thermostable PEPs at the variable residues identified from the above comparison was also studied from the same sequence alignment.

From our previous experience in the enginecring of the Bacillus ( 1,$3 ; 1,4$ )- $\beta$-glucanase it is evident that thermostability can be achieved by increasing the amount of hydrogen bonding between the C - and N terminal domains of the enzyme (von Wettstein, 2007). Similarly conclusions were reached in another study by structural analysis of a thermostable variant (stable up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of $E$ meningosepticum prolyl endo-
peptidase, where the amount of hydrophobic forces was shown to determine the structural stability of the enzyme (Hamamatsu et al., 2005). Thus it is likely to increase thermostability of Fm-PEP by increasing the number of hydrogen bonds between the first and last (seventh) blade of the $\beta$ propeller domain. Based on the above hypotheses comparison between Fm-PEP and Pfu-PEP was performed, and the amino acid residues showing large entropy differences within the first and seventh blade of the $\beta$ propeller domain were selected for site-directed mutagenesis. By using the sequence information available for the thermophilic organisms at these sites for the first round of mutagenesis. With hope that substituting these amino acids with the ones present in thermophilic organisms will

improve the stability of enzyme by increasing number of hydrogen bonds. Primers were designed to create a total of 9 substitutions in the beta-propeller domain of Fm-PEP, 4 in the first blade and 5 in the seventh blade. Two mutations were introduced at a time using these primers, and the fragments were assembled by SOE-PCR. The fragments obtained from splicing by overlap extension (SOE)-PCR were digested with the appropriate set of restriction enzymes and ligated into the corresponding sites in the expression vector. Various combinations of these mutants were developed giving rise to a library of 8 I variants, which are currently being tested for their thermostability and fidelity. The clones were combined in such a way that allows the occurrence of at least two mutations in
each case, allowing different mutant combinations to be tested. Transformants were selected and cultured into 2 ml LB media containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of kanamycin in 15 ml culture tubes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD} 600=0.6$ has reached, at this point isopropyl $\beta$-D-r-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to induce expression of the desired protein at a final concentration of 0.25 mM . Cultures were shaken at 200 rpm at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 hours. The transformants were harvested by centrifugation at 14,000 for 5 minutes, supernatant discarded. The soluble fraction and inclusion bodies were extracted with BugBuster ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ protein extraction reagent (Novagen) following manufacturer's recommendations. Protein size was determined by $12 \%$ SDS-PAGE gel. Solubilization of inclusion bodies was performed by


Figure 30.
Gliadin profiles (on lactic acid-PAGE) of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}$ grains derived from selected microspore-derived transformants showing reduced accumulation of gliadins.
addition of 500 mL of 2 M urea and 100 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 12.5), followed by centrifugation at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For refolding of the protein, the eluted fraction was diluted into the refolding buffer ( 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 ; 0.5 mM EDTA; 2 M urea; io\% v/v glycerol; $5 \%$ sucrose; imM PMSF) (Singh and Panda 2005) to a concentration of $30 \mathrm{ug} /$
ml , followed by overnight incubation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with gently agitation. After the incubation the fraction was concentrated by acetone precipitation, followed by determination of its concentration by Bradford assay, and proper folding by SDS-PAGE gel analysis. The Fm-PEP activity was determined by the assay described in Uchiyama et al. (2000) and modi-


Figure 3r.
Diagrammatic representation of the chimeric hairpin construct derived from short conserved stretches of DNA sequences identified from the sequence alignment of individual prolamin families (right hand). The chimeric hairpin was tested using virus induced gene silencing (upper left hand). The results showed reduced accumulation of gliadins in virus infested plants. The chimeric hairpin was also introduced in wheat genome using biolistic and microspore electroporation based approaches. The preliminary analysis of the $T_{\mathrm{I}}$ grains showed elimination/reduced accumulation of different gliadins (lower left hand).
fied by Hamamatsu et al. (2005). Briefly, 100 ml of the extracted protein was initially incubated for 10 min at different temperatures ranging from $60-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $10^{\circ}$ intervals. After the heat shock each sample was mixed with $5^{\circ} \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 4.0 mM Z-Gly-Pro-2-NNap in $40 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{I}, 4$-dioxane and 940 mL of o.rM potassium phosphate buffer containing imM dithiothreitol (DTT) and roomg $/ \mathrm{ml}$ bovine serum albumin (BSA), and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Io min. After incubation absorbance of the resulting diazo dye was measured at 410 nm . One unit of the enzyme activity
is defined as the amount of activity shown by a pmol of P-naphthylamine/min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The preliminary screen for the 12 variants having i to 7 substitutions showed thermostability up to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for to minutes in one of the II variants carrying 2 substitutions at the adjoining sites ( $\mathrm{T}_{4} 12 \mathrm{R}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4} 13 \mathrm{~L}$ ) in the seventh blade (Fig. 36). The structural analysis of the variant based on the crystal structure of the Fm-PEP as template showed introduction of new hydrogen-bonds, which might have contributed to the enzyme stability (Fig. 36).
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pepsin, trypsin \& chymotrypsin
pepsin, trypsin, chymotrypsin \& EP-B2
pepsin, trypsin, chymotrypsin, EP-B2 \& AN-PEP
pepsin, trypsin, chymotrypsin, EP-B2 \& FM-PEP

Figure 32.
Results of virtual digestion of wheat prolamins with endopeptidases and endoprotease under simulated gastro-intestinal conditions. [Modified from Osorio et al., 2012 Funct. Integr. Genomics]

## II.3.2. Barley endoprotease $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ (EP-B2)

Due to high sequence and structural similarity between Ervatimin C and EP-B2, but differences in thermostabilities of the two enzymes a structure basedapproach is used in this case. In 2004, Dattagupta and coworkers deducted that in Ervatamin C, a cysteine endoprotease, three amino acids, Ser32, Ser36, and Argi72 constitute an intricate network of hydrogen bonds between the two domains of the protein, as a result they are involved in both protein-protein and water mediated inter domain contacts (Guha Thakurta et al., 2004). The NH2, NHi, and NE atoms of Argi72 interact directly with residues Thri4, Proi5,
and Ser32 of the left domain and provide stability to the protein.

Later, the same group used this information to introduce mutations in papain (a cysteine protease). Therefore the three corresponding residues Val32, Gly36 and Lysi74 in the interdomain region were mutated to Ser, Ser and Arg, respectively, as found in Erv C (Choudhury et al., 2010).

After effective mutagenesis, the effect of temperature on activity of papain mutants was determined by incubating purified pro-enzyme samples ( $50-100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in 100 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.6)$ for 10 min at different temperatures ranging from $40-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5^{\circ}$ intervals.


Figure 33 .
Immunogenic peptides left undigested after different treatments.

After the thermal treatment protein samples were cooled immediately in an ice bath followed by activation of the pro-proteins to their respective mature forms. The initial and residual enzyme activities (at each temperature) were determined using azocasein assay at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and are expressed as percentages of the maximum activities. Results of the analysis suggested that the three mutations introduced in papain significantly increased its thermostability from 50 to $67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In view of the structural and functional similarities between Ervatamin C (ERVC) and barley cysteine endoprotease $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ we used ERVC as a template to make alterations in EP-B2, with an anticipated change in the enzyme activity (Fig. 37a,b). Primers were designed to introduce mutations at the Val32, Gly3 6 and Lysi 74 to Ser, Ser and Arg. These three substitutions were already introduced in the EP-B2 gene by specifically designed primers and assembled
in one fragment by splicing by overlap extension (SOE) PCR, and are currently being tested for its thermostability.

## 12. Conclusion

The best combination of 'glutenases' was identified as a result of a virtual screen based on the relative performance of different enzymes under simulated gastric conditions and literature survey. Genes encoding these glutenases were introduced in the wheat genome by biolistic approach, and their integration in genome and faithful inheritance was confirmed. The expression of desired enzymes will be soon verified at transcript level using qRT-PCR and their activities will be measured using assays previously described by Chevallier et al. (1992) for FM-PEP and Bethune et al. (2006) for EP-B2. The grains from the transformants


Figure 34 -
Constructs developed for co-transformation of wheat scutellar calli. (A) Fragment encoding codon optimized version of F. meningosepticum prolyl endopeptidase (FM-PEP) under the control of wheat $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{y}$ high molecular weight glutenin (HMWg) promoter and nos terminator was cloned in the plasmid backbone of pGEM3zf (Promega, USA). (B) Fragment encoding for barley cysteine endoprotease $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ (EP-B2) under the control of wheat $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{Dy}$ HMWg promoter and nos terminator was cloned in the plasmid backbone of pGEM $_{3} z f$. (c) Fragment encoding FM-PEP and EP-B2 both under the control of wheat IDy HMW promoter and nos terminator.

expressing high amounts of the two enzymes will be tested in whole grain breads prepared by the addition of whole/cracked transgenic grains to dough (prepared from normal wheat flour) just prior to baking process to avoid hydration and thermal denaturation of the enzymes. Deposition of glutenases in the prolamin storage protein bodies is also expected to protect them from degradation during the programmed cell death of the endosperm in the final stages of grain
maturation and also from thermal denaturation during baking. Based in our previous experience with the expression of ( $\mathrm{I}, 3 ; \mathrm{I}, 4$ ) - $\beta$-glucanase in barley endosperm, we know that it is possible to identify transformants expressing large quantities of foreign enzyme(s). In this situation only a small proportion of the transgenic grains containing large amount of 'glutenases' will be sufficient to detoxify the consumed gluten, and will prove as a perfect therapeutic alternative for celiac disease.

(a)

(b)

Figure 35 .
PCR analysis of $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ transformants using (a) F. meningosepticum prolyl endopeptidase specific primers. Lane number: $2=\mathrm{III}, 3$ $=1_{3}$. (b) barley cysteine endoprotease $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ specific primers. Lane number: $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{III} ; 2=\mathrm{II}$. DNA was eluted from selected bands (highlighted by red rectangle) and sequenced using gene specific primer to confirm integration. $\mathrm{M}=100 \mathrm{bp}$ ladder (New England BioLabs Inc. Cat \# N ${ }_{32} 3^{I} S$ ).


Carbobenzoxy-Gly-Pro-p-nitroanilide(Z-Gly-Pro-pNA) + H2O + prolyl endopeptidase $\rightarrow$ Z-Gly-Pro + p-Nitroaniline



Figure 36 .
Screening for thermostability of $\mathrm{II}^{(\mathrm{M} 2-12)}$ variants of Fm-PEP engineered by combining different mutations introduced by directed mutagenesis in ${ }^{\text {st }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ blades of the $\beta$-propeller domain. The four amino acid substitutions are introduced in the $\mathrm{I}^{\text {st }}$ blade ( $\mathrm{R}_{123} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{D}_{125} \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{P}_{13} 6 \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{137} \mathrm{~L}$ ) and 5 aa substitutions are introduced in the $7^{\text {th }}$ blade $\left(\mathrm{N}_{4} 06 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}_{412} \mathrm{R}\right.$, $\mathrm{I}_{413} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~F}_{414} \mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{415} \mathrm{E}$ ), and various mutant combinations are produced by splicing by overlap extension PCR. A colorimetric assay was used to test the thermostability of $\mathrm{Fm}-\mathrm{PEP}$ variants at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min (upper and lower left). A variant $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ carrying two substitutions ( $\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ ) in the $7^{\text {th }}$ blade of the $\beta$-propeller domain showed high thermostability probably due to the introduction of additional hydrogen bonds between Leu413 and Phe422 and Arg4I2 and Phe 398 (right). $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{Fm}-\mathrm{PEP}$ (control); $\mathrm{M}_{2}=123-125 ; \mathrm{M}_{3}=(123-125)+(136-137) ; \mathrm{M}_{4}=406 ; \mathrm{M}_{5}=412-413 ; \mathrm{M}_{6}=412-415 ; \mathrm{M}_{7}$ $=406(412-4 \mathrm{I} 5) ; \mathrm{M} 8=(\mathrm{I} 23-\mathrm{I} 25)+(\mathrm{I} 36-\mathrm{I} 37)+(4 \mathrm{I} 2-4 \mathrm{I} 3) ; \mathrm{M}_{9}=406(4 \mathrm{I} 4-4 \mathrm{I} 5) ; \mathrm{MIO}^{2}=(\mathrm{I} 23-\mathrm{I} 25)+(\mathrm{I} 36-\mathrm{I} 37)+406(4 \mathrm{I} 4-4 \mathrm{I} 5) ; \mathrm{MII}^{2}=$ $(123-125)+(136-137)+406(412-415)$ clone $1 ; 12=(123-125)+(136-137)+406(412-415)$ clone 2.


Figure 37.
Crystal structures of (a) EP-B2 and (b) papain showing structural similarities between the two enzymes.
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## Appendices

APPENDIX I. CpG-islands detected in prolamin sequences.

| Accession\# | Size <br> (bp) | locus | Allele | Cultivar | Type | 5' UTR | CpGisland*** | Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High molecular weight glutenins (HMWgs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DQ533690 | 4648 | Glu-A1 | GluA1-2 | 211.10214 | - | 2173bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 224 | 2048-2445 |
| X61009 | 2885 | Glu-A1 | Glu-1Ax1 | Hope | 1 Ax 1 | 264bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 408 | 133-540 |
| JN172932 | 2601 | Glu-A1 | Glu-1Ax1.1 | Barbela | 1Ax1.1 | 0bp | After TSS | 228 | 49-276 |
| EF055262 | 2448 | Glu-A1 | Glu-1Ax-2*B | Bankuti 1201 | 1Ax | 0bp | After TSS | 224 | 49-272 |
| HQ846968 | 1800 | Glu-A1 | pseudogene | Saumur | 1Ay | 0bp | After TSS | 300 | 49-348 |
| AF145590 | 2537 | Glu-A1 | Glu-A1-x-null | Pane 247 | - | 19bp | After TSS | 247 | 49-295 |
| EU287439 | 2355 | Glu-B1 | Glu-B1-1 | - | - | 0bp | After TSS | 227 | 49-275 |
| DQ478571 | 2373 | Glu-B1 | - | Jinan177 | 1 Bx | 0bp | After TSS | 227 | 49-275 |
| BK006773 | 2388 | Glu-B1 | Glu-B1-1a | Chinese Spring | Bx7 | 0bp | After TSS | 227 | 49-275 |
| FM955452 | 2391 | Glu-B1 | - | - | 1Bx13 | 0bp | After TSS | 217 | 49-265 |
| EF540764 | 2391 | Glu-B1 | - | - | 1 Bx 13 | 0bp | After TSS | 217 | 49-265 |
| AB263219 | 2244 | Glu-B1 | Glu-B1i | Haruyutaka | Bx17 | 0bp | After TSS | 227 | 49-275 |
|  <br> AY367771 | 5252 | Glu-B1 | Glu-1Bx14 | Xiaoyan 6 | 1Bx14 | 2378 bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 458 | 2211-2668 |
| AY553933 | 3426 | Glu-B1 | - | - | 1Bx23 | 1038bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 443 | 871-1313 |
| DQ086215 | 2418 | Glu-B1 | - | - | 1By15 | 55 bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 321 | 51-371 |
| EF540765 | 2220 | Glu-B1 | - | - | 1By16 | 0bp | After TSS | 235 | 80-314 |
| EF381742 | 1979 | Glu-B1 | - | subsp. Tibeticum | 1 By 9 | 0bp | After TSS | 241 | 49-289 |
| EF381741 | 1979 | Glu-B1 | - | subsp. Yunnanense | 1 By9 | 0bp | After TSS | 233 | 49-281 |
| EU137874 | 3578 | Glu-B1 | - | Xiaoyan 54 | 1By15 | 1421bp | After TSS | 237 | 1501-1737 |


| Accession\# | Size <br> (bp) | locus | Allele | Cultivar | Type | $5^{\prime}$ UTR | CpGisland*** | Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BK006458 | 2547 | Glu-D1 |  | Glenlea | Dx5 | 0bp | After TSS | 201 | 118-318 |
| GQ241722 | 2487 | Glu-D1 | - | - | 1Dx1.5* | 0bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| DQ478570 | 2523 | Glu-D1 | - | Jinan177 | 1 Dx | Obp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| BK006460 | 2508 | Glu-D1 | Glu-D1-1a | Chinese Spring | Dx2 | Obp | n/d | - | - |
| AB481100 | 2262 | Glu-D1 | - | KU7510 | - | Obp | n/d | - | - |
| X12929 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6462 | Glu-D1 | Glu-1D-2b | Cheyenne | 10 | 2937 bp | n/d | - | - |
| DQ211819 | 1470 | Glu-D1 | - | PBW 343 | 1Dy10 | 17bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| EU266533 | 2022 | Glu-D1 | - | Trebisovka | 1Dy12* | 0bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| EU287437 | 1950 | Glu-D1 | Glu-D1-2-Dy10 | - | Dy10 | 0bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| FJ226583 | 1980 | Glu-D1 | - | - | 1Dy12.2* | 0bp | After TSS | 241 | 49-289 |
| EU495302 | 1980 | Glu-D1 | - | yn11 | 1Dy12* | 0bp | After TSS | 241 | 49-289 |
| EU528008 | 1917 | Glu-D1 | - | - | $1 \mathrm{Dy11}$ | 0bp | After TSS | 245 | 49-293 |
| AY695379 | 1971 | Glu-D1 | - | Xinjiang Rice | 1Dy10.1 | 0bp | After TSS | 241 | 49-289 |
| BK006459 | 1977 | Glu-D1 | - | Chinese Spring | Dy12 | 0bp | After TSS | 241 | 49-289 |
| X03041* | 3095 | Glu-D1 | - | - | 1Dy | 425 bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 335 | 380-714 |
| X12928 | 8463 | Glu-D1 | Glu-1D-1b | Cheyenne | 5 | 3898 bp | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { in } 5 \text { 'UTR, } 1 \\ \text { after TSS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 753 \\ 253 \\ 201 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 699-1451, \\ 1652-1904, \\ 4016-4216 \end{gathered}$ |
| EU287438 | 2523 | Glu-D1 | Glu-D1-1 | - | - | 0bp | After TSS | 201 | 118-318 |
| AJ314772 | 2490 | Glu-R1 | - | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}-149 \\ {[40+1 \mathrm{R}(1 \mathrm{D})]} \end{gathered}$ | X | 139bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 325 | 110-434 |
| AF216868 | 2490 | Glu-R1 | Glu-R1x | 7841 | X | 139bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 325 | 110-434 |
| AJ314784 | 2625 | Glu-R1 | - | CS 1R addition | X | 139bp | At TSS | 280 | 140-419 |
| AF216869 | 2331 | Glu-R1 | Glu-R1y | 7841 | Y | 139bp | After TSS | 301 | 179-479 |
| AJ314783 | 2331 | Glu-R1 | - | S-149 [40+1R(1D)] | Y | 139bp | After TSS | 301 | 179-479 |
| AJ314785 | 2330 | Glu-R1 | - | CS 1R addition | - | 139bp | After TSS | 237 | 219-455 |


| Accession\# | Size <br> (bp) | locus | Allele | Cultivar | Type | $5^{\prime}$ UTR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CpG- } \\ & \text { island*** } \end{aligned}$ | Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AY899823 | 2391 | - | pseudogene | 4072 | X | 0bp | After TSS | 251 | 49-299 |
| JN408502 | 2250 | - | - | CS/Ae.longissima | Y | 0bp | After TSS | 254 | 49-302 |
| EU863823 | 1977 | - | - | recital | - | 0bp | After TSS | 241 | 49-289 |
| DQ000161 | 1980 | - | - | Jinan 177 | - | 0bp | After TSS | 233 | 49-281 |
| AY249141** | 2297 | - | - | Shanda No. 9 | - | 0bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| Barley D-hordein |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JQ867076.1 | 2369 | Hor3 | - | Golden Promise | - | 2bp | After TSS | 341 | 50-390 |
| JQ867091 | 2368 | Hor3 | - | Barke | - | 2bp | After TSS | 341 | 50-390 |
| JQ867077 | 2309 | Hor3 | - | Golden Promise | - | 2bp | After TSS | 341 | 50-390 |
| AY998008 | 698 | Hor3 | - | H. chilense (H65) | - | 59bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 401 | 50-450 |
| AY998006 | 699 | Hor3 | - | H. chilense (H65) | - | 59bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 402 | 50-451 |
| AY998009 | 1210 | Hor3 | - | H. chilense (H1) | - | 873bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 448 | 707-1154 |
| AY998007 | 699 | Hor3 | - | H. chilense (H65) | - | 59bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 402 | 50-451 |
| AY998005 | 695 | Hor3 | - | H. chilense (H65) | - | 56 bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 398 | 50-447 |
| EF417988 | 4305 | Hor3 | - | H. chilense (H1) | - | 826bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 558 | 660-1218 |
| EF417989 | 4227 | Hor3 | - | H. chilense (H7) | - | 826bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 558 | $660 . .1218$ |
| D82941.1 | 2296 | Hor3 | - | Haruna Nijo | - | 36 bp | After TSS | 375 | 50-424 |
| X84368 | 1859 | Hor3 | - | Bomi | - | 434bp | Junction of 5'UTR \& TR | 448 | 375-822 |
| Low molecular weight glutenins (LMWgs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EU871816 | 1292 | Glu-A3 | Glu-A3-d | COOK | - | 197bp | n/d | - | - |


| Accession\# | Size <br> (bp) | locus | Allele | Cultivar | Type | 5' UTR | CpG- <br> island*** | Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Location


| Accession\# | Size <br> (bp) | locus | Allele | Cultivar | Type | $5^{\prime}$ UTR | CpGisland*** | Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FJ615309 | 1603 | Glu-D3 | - | COOK | - | 605bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| FJ615310 | 1603 | Glu-D3 | - | Suneca | - | 605bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| FJ615311 | 1603 | Glu-D3 | - | Chinese Spring | - | 605bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| DQ457416 | 1645 | GluD3-4 | GluD3-41 | Aroona | LMWg P3-41 | 385bp | n/d | - | - |
| DQ457417 | 1642 | GluD3-4 | GluD3-42 | Chinese Spring | LMWg P3-42 | 385bp | n/d | - | - |
| DQ457418 | 1642 | GluD3-4 | GluD3-43 | Tasman | LMWg P3-43 | 385bp | n/d | - | - |
| DQ457420 | 1300 | GluD3-6 | - | Tasman | LMWg P3-6 | 174bp | n/d | - | - |
| AY831865 | 1383 | - | - | Glenlea | - | 17bp | n/d | - | - |
| DQ681079 | 1137 | - | - | Guanfeng 2 | GF-1 | 0bp | n/d | - | - |
| FM212916 | 1077 | - | - | Prinqual | D-type | 0bp | n/d | - | - |
| X07747 | 1827 | - | - | Yamhill | - | 550bp | n/d | - | - |
| AY831780 | 1374 | - | - | Glenlea | - | 32bp | n/d | - | - |
| Gliadins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GQ891684 | 1018 | - | - | Shaan253 | Alpha | 70 bp | n/d | - | - |
| K02068.1 | 1152 | - | - | Cheyenne | Alpha | 33bp | n/d | - | - |
| X54688 | 3573 | - | Pseudogene | Cheyenne | Alpha | 400bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| X54517 | 3581 | - | pseudogene | Cheyenne | Alpha | 401bp | n/d | - | - |
| X54689 | 3566 | - | pseudogene | Cheyenne | Alpha | 397bp | n/d | - | - |
| X01130 | 2347 | - | - | - | Alpha | 771bp | n/d | - | - |
| AY293730 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1335 | - | - | Grebe | Alpha | 1335bp | in 5'-UTR | 264 | 48-311 |
| TAU08287 | 6115 |  | - | Cheyenne | Alpha | 2833bp | in 5'-UTR | 606 | 1083-1688 |
| U51308.1 | 1799 | - | pseudogene | Cheyenne | Alpha | 593bp | n/d | - | - |
| K03074.1 | 3043 | - | - | - | alpha/beta | 593bp | n/d | - | - |
| M11073.1 | 1156 | - | - | - | alpha-/beta | 33bp | n/d | - | - |
| K03075.1 | 3310 | - | - | - | alpha/beta | 921bp | n/d | - | - |
| AF234646 | 1329 | - | - | Cheyenne | Gamma | 342bp | n/d | - | - |


| Accession\# | Size <br> (bp) | locus | Allele | Cultivar | Type | $5^{\prime}$ UTR | CpGisland*** | Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AF234644 | 1227 | - | - | Cheyenne | Gamma | 51bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| AF234650 | 1178 | - | - | Cheyenne | Gamma | 38 bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| AF234647 | 5718 | - | - | Cheyenne | Gamma | 2769bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| M11336.1 | 1130 | - | - | - | Gamma | 0bp | n/d | - | - |
| M11077.1 | 1348 | - | - | Cheyenne | Gamma | 48 bp | n/d | - | - |
| M13712.1 | 2450 | - | pseudogene | - | Gamma | 512bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| M13713.1 | 2450 | - | - | - | Gamma | 503bp | n/d | - | - |
| GQ871772 | 1468 | - | - | Shaan253 | C2 gamma | 389bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| GQ871770 | 1290 | - | - | Shaan253 | Gamma | 399bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| FJ598073 | 1082 | - | - | Jinan177 | Omega | 0bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| FJ598069 | 1038 | - | - | Jinan177 | Omega | Obp | n/d | - | - |
| FJ598070 | 1146 | - | - | Jinan177 | Omega | 0bp | $n / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| GQ871775 | 1064 | - | - | Shaan253 | Gamma | 27 bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| GQ871773 | 1067 | - | - | Shaan253 | Gamma | 3 bp | n/d | - | - |
| AF280605 | 3789 | - | - | - | Omega | 2463bp | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |
| AB059812 | 1858 | - | Pseudogene | Chinese Spring | Omega | 324bp | n/d | - | - |
| AB181301 | 1275 | - | Pseudogene | Norin 61 | omega-5 | 29bp | n/d | - | - |
| AF280606 | 3925 | - | pseudogene | - | omegaG3 | 2476bp | n/d | - | - |
| AJ937839 | 1399 | gli | - | Neepawa | Omega | 0bp | $n / \mathrm{d}$ | - | - |

*sequence homologous to 5' end of a-gliadin gene
**Locus unknown
***TR = transcribed region; TSS = transcription start site; UTR = untranslated region; n/d = not detected
annly 5'UTR
${ }^{\text {b }}$ showed similarity with wheat methylation-filtered sequence $\mathrm{CZ8898} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ derived from leaf genomic DNA - confirmed its hypomethylated status
[Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]

APPENDIX 2. Data on transcriptional supression of DEMETER homoeologues and elimination of immunogenic prolamins using immature and mature $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ grains.

| $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ | T ${ }_{1}$ | \% supression qRTPCR | Gliadins |  |  | \% cumulative reduction gliadin | Glutenins |  | \% <br> Reduction LMW+ gliadins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Omega (\%) | Alpha (\%) | Gamma (\%) |  | LMW | HMW |  |
| 10-728 | P32F2 | 68.9 | 115.0 | 56.2 | 21.8 | 56.3 | 22.1 | 143.5 | 67.0 |
|  | P31D3 | 57.2 | 40.9 | 50.4 | 29.2 | 65.4 | 89.0 | 101.9 | 60.4 |
|  | P32E9 | 73.4 | 59.5 | 33.3 | 18.4 | 74.8 | 89.9 | 111.1 | 67.2 |
|  | P32G8 | 76.3 | 36.7 | 35.0 | 26.1 | 71.1 | 46.3 | 89.5 | 68.0 |
|  | P31D12 | 72.5 | 60.3 | 37.6 | 20.7 | 67.9 | 34.8 | 117.6 | 67.2 |
|  | P31A10 | 74.6 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 71.9 | 136.2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | P32F1 | 73.7 | 59.4 | 38.0 | 29.4 | 63.3 | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | P33A8 | 69.3 | 24.5 | 9.4 | 48.6 | 66.5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | P31C8 | 85.6 | 26.7 | 44.1 | 24.7 | 68.8 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | P31D6 | 66.7 | 47.5 | 37.9 | 29.7 | 65.0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
|  | P33A7 | 79.0 | 32.4 | 35.4 | 29.8 | 68.3 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | P32G11 | 70.2 | 205.3 | 24.8 | 15.3 | 57.0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | P31E1 | 70.8 | 80.3 | 38.0 | 22.0 | 68.2 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 38-728 | P45A5 | 3.5 | 170.7 | 74.5 | 116.4 | -10.5 | 110.5 | 149.0 | -10.5 |
| 46-728 | P21C4 | 81.7 | 48.6 | 33.9 | 11.0 | 76.0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
| 22-728 | P43C2 | 75.0 | 35.2 | 28.1 | 34.9 | 67.2 | 43.9 | 85.3 | 63.1 |
|  | P43A6 | 76.6 | 18.7 | 25.2 | 22.0 | 77.5 | 58.6 | 92.2 | 68.2 |
|  | P43D6 | 69.7 | 114.6 | 29.3 | 19.2 | 69.1 | 31.9 | 88.8 | 68.8 |
|  | P43A12 | 72.3 | 74.4 | 13.1 | 25.8 | 73.0 | 43.3 | 89.8 | 65.8 |
|  | P42H3 | 76.7 | 27.8 | 31.2 | 21.3 | 74.5 | 39.7 | 89.1 | 69.3 |
|  | P43C5 | 70.6 | 58.0 | 54.1 | 14.4 | 73.4 | 48.7 | 96.1 | 67.5 |
|  | P42H12 | 74.7 | 45.7 | 38.1 | 29.3 | 66.1 | 29.5 | 84.0 | 68.8 |
| 19-728 | P42F1 | 78.6 | 37.3 | 31.8 | 23.0 | 72.7 | 40.9 | 88.1 | 67.6 |
|  | P32G7 | 72.5 | 15.2 | 46.9 | 54.7 | 57.9 | 24.5 | 73.5 | 70.2 |


| $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { supression } \\ \text { qRT- } \\ \text { PCR } \end{gathered}$ | Gliadins |  |  | \% cumulative reduction gliadin | Glutenins |  | \% <br> Reduction LMW+ gliadins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Omega (\%) | Alpha (\%) | Gamma <br> (\%) |  | LMW | HMW |  |
|  | P42E9 | 72.3 | 22.4 | 34.9 | 39.3 | 64.2 | 33.0 | 83.8 | 65.6 |
|  | P42E7 | 67.7 | 118.9 | 51.7 | 33.7 | 54.9 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
|  | P42F11 | 83.9 | 31.8 | 26.1 | 21.8 | 76.4 | 58.9 | 85.3 | 67.0 |
|  | P41B5 | 82.7 | 12.2 | 33.3 | 91.4 | 43.1 | 40.7 | 26.6 | 76.4 |
|  | P42G5 | 78.9 | 78.4 | 41.2 | 10.4 | 72.0 | 85.7 | 94.3 | 62.1 |
|  | P42G4 | 79.9 | 150.9 | 46.5 | 17.3 | 64.6 | 3.5 | 79.6 | 68.4 |
|  | P41D4 | 78.2 | 87.7 | 52.8 | 27.9 | 61.6 | 58.7 | 90.8 | 56.2 |
|  | P41D8 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 51.8 | 25.9 | 41.4 | 62.0 | 62.9 | 89.4 | 34.6 |
|  | P41C9 | 74.5 | 54.6 | 31.7 | 24.9 | 68.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 29b-728 | P27C8 | 74.7 | 57.8 | 57.0 | 22.4 | 67.2 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | P27B8 | 74.5 | 45.5 | 45.1 | 21.5 | 71.4 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 140-728 | P67C2 | 82.6 | 77.5 | 56.4 | 13.9 | 71.5 | 41.6 | 96.8 | 68.0 |
|  | P67B10 | 59.9 | 35.7 | 85.2 | 91.5 | 25.3 | 40.7 | 86.1 | 45.2 |
|  | P67D1 | 74.1 | 21.9 | 28.6 | 43.6 | 65.7 | 19.9 | 76.8 | 74.1 |
|  | P67C7 | 67.2 | 42.9 | 31.1 | 30.9 | 68.0 | 61.5 | 82.8 | 60.1 |
|  | P68E2 | 78.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 61.4 | 108.9 | n/a |
|  | P67C9 | 66.7 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 27.8 | 70.0 | n/a |
| 17-728 | P62E4 | 78.4 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 10.4 | 16.3 | n/a |
|  | P61E1 | n/a | 16.5 | 87.6 | 133.0 | 9.1 | 67.0 | 83.9 | 25.7 |
| 17b-728 | P27B3 | 68.0 | 17.4 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 79.4 | 70.5 | 95.5 | 70.6 |
|  | P27A12 | 82.7 | 109.4 | 49.5 | 7.9 | 74.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 34-728 | P13A6 | 75.1 | 69.5 | 43.7 | 24.4 | 67.5 | 42.1 | 90.9 | 64.9 |
| 25-728 | P53A12 | 73.5 | 69.0 | 36.6 | 37.3 | 59.9 | 27.1 | 80.5 | 65.6 |
|  | P53B9 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 83.6 | 45.4 | 48.8 | 47.1 | 58.4 | 106.5 | 45.0 |
| 18-728 | P52F3 | 71.9 | 87.3 | 40.9 | 30.3 | 61.5 | 34.8 | 89.9 | 63.1 |


| $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | \% supression qRTPCR | Gliadins |  |  | \% cumulative reduction gliadin | Glutenins |  | \% <br> Reduction LMW+ gliadins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Omega (\%) | Alpha (\%) | Gamma (\%) |  | LMW | HMW |  |
|  | P51B8 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 65.3 | 38.9 | 38.6 | 57.3 | 66.5 | 109.8 | 51.2 |
|  | P51F5 | n/a | 88.7 | 58.1 | 31.8 | 55.8 | 45.6 | 90.7 | 55.4 |
| 28-728 | P44E9 | 78.2 | 37.1 | 27.4 | 31.7 | 68.8 | 33.5 | 85.3 | 68.0 |
| 29-728 | P53D7 | 71.3 | 38.0 | 33.2 | 30.7 | 67.6 | 41.8 | 86.7 | 64.1 |
| Control | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100 | - | 100 | 100 | - |

[Source: Wen et al., 2012 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Copyright (2012)]

APPENDIX 3. Immunogenic peptide epitopes from gluten involved in celiac disease.

| Amino acid sequence | Position | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VRVPVPQLQPQNPSQQQPQ | $\alpha$ gliadin 1-19 | (Lundin et al., 1993) |
| QNPSQQQPQEQVPLVQQQ | a gliadin 11-28 |  |
| QVPLVQQQQFLGQQQPFPPQ | $\alpha$ gliadin 21-40 |  |
| LGQQQPFPPQQPYPQPQPF | $\alpha$ gliadin 31-49 |  |
| FPGQQQPFPPQQPYPQPQPF | $\alpha$ gliadin 30-49 |  |
| QPYPQPQPFPSQQPYLQL | $\alpha$ gliadin 41-58 |  |
| PQPFPSQQPYLQLQPFPQ | a gliadin 46-63 | (van de Wal et al., 1996) |
| PFPQPQLPY | $\alpha-9(60-68)$ E65 | (Arentz-Hansen et al., 2000) |
| PQPQLPYPQ | 人-2 (62-70) E65 |  |
| PYPQPQLPY | $\alpha-2(67-75)$ E72 | (Arentz-Hansen et al., 2002) |
| PSGQGSFQPSQQ | a gliadin 205-216 <br> (deamidated) | (van de Wal et al., 1998b; van de Wal et al., 1998a) |
| SGQGSFQPSQQN | $\alpha$ gliadin 206-217 <br> (deamidated) |  |
| QGSFQPSQQN | $\alpha$ gliadin 208-217 <br> (deamidated) |  |
| QQPQQQYPSGQGSFQPSQQNPQAQG | a gliadin 198-222 | (van de Wal et al., 1998b) |
| LQLQPFPQPQLPYPQPQLPYPQPQLPYPQPQPF | a gliadin 57-89 | (Arentz-Hansen et al., 2000) |
| QLQPFPQPQLPY | $\alpha$ gliadin 58-68 | (Arentz-Hansen et al., 2000) |
| QYPLGQGSFRPSQQNPQA | $\alpha$ gliadin 203-220 <br> (deamidated) | (Mazzarella et al., 2003) |
| LIFCMDVVLQ | $\alpha$ gliadin 123-132 | (Gianfrani et al., 2003) |
| PFRPQQPYPQPQPQ | $\alpha$ gliadin 93-106 <br> (deamidated) | (van de Wal et al., 1999; Vader, 2002) |
| PQQSFPQQQ | $\gamma-5(115-123)$ E121 | (Sjostrom et al., 1998) |
| IIQPQQPAQL | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma-5(222-236) \mathrm{E} 232 \\ & \text { (deamidated) } \end{aligned}$ | (Vader, 2002) |
| FPQQPQQPYPQQP | $\gamma-5$ (66-78) E68, E71 | (Arentz-Hansen et al., 2002) |


| Amino acid sequence | Position | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FSQPQQQFPQPQ | $\gamma-5$ (102-113) E106, E108 |  |
| LQPQQPFPQQPQQPYPQQPQ | $\gamma-5$ (60-79) |  |
| OQPQQSFPQQQ | $\gamma$ gliadin 134-153 <br> (deamidated) | (Sjostrom et al., 1998) |
| QQQQPPFSQQQQSPFSQQQQPFSQQQQSPF | glutenin-156 (40-59) <br> (deamidated) | (Vader, 2002) |
| QQPPFSQQQQQPLPQPFSQQQQQ | glutenin 17 (46-60) <br> (deamidated) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SGQGQQRPGQWLQPGQGQQGYYPTSPQQS- } \\ & \text { GQGQQLGQ } \end{aligned}$ | glutenin 707-742 <br> (deamidated) | (van de Wal et al., 1999) |
| PGQWLQPGQGQQGYYPTSPQQSGQ | glutenin 719-736 |  |
| GYYPTSPQQSGQGQQLGQ | glutenin 725-742 |  |
| GYYPTSPQQSG | glutenin 725-735 |  |
| QGYYPTSPQQS | glutenin 724-734 |  |
| QQGYYPTSPQQSG | glutenin 723-735 |  |
| GQQGYYPTSPQQSG | glutenin 722-735 |  |
| GQQGYYPTSPQQS | glutenin 723-734 |  |
| PQPELPYPQPQLPY | $\alpha$-2 gliadin, 62-75, E65 | (Ellis et al., 2003) |
| LQLQPFPQPELPY | $\alpha-9$ gliadin, 56-68, E65 |  |
| LQLQPFPQPQLPYPQPQLPY | $\alpha-2$ gliadin, 56-75 |  |
| LQLQPFPQPELPYPQPQLPY | $\alpha-2$ gliadin, 56-75, E65 |  |
| LQPQQPFPQQPQQPYPQQPQ | $\gamma 6$ gliadin | (Qiao et al., 2005) |
| PQQPFPQPQQQFPQPQQPQQ | y 7 gliadin |  |
| PYPEQQEPF | Avenin I | (Arentz-Hansen et al., 2004) |
| PYPEQQQPF | Avenin II |  |
| PYPEQPEQP | $\gamma-2$ gliadin | (Vader et al., 2003) |
| PQPEQPFPQ | sec a2 and hor |  |
| IQPEQPAQL | $\gamma$ gliadin | (Qiao et al., 2005) |


| Amino acid sequence | Position | References |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PQPEQPFCQ | $\gamma$ gliadin | (Qiao unpublished) |
| PFPQPEQPF | $\omega$ gliadin | (Tye-Din et al., 2010) |
| PQPEQPFPW | $\omega$ gliadin |  |
| PFPQPEQPF | hor 9 | (Bodd et al., 2012) |
| PIPEQPQPY | Hor | (Tollefsen et al., 2006) |
| PFPQPEQPF | sec a9 |  |
| PFSEQEQPV | $\gamma$ gliatenin | (Kooy-Winkelaar et al., 2011) |
| EQPQQPFPQ | $\gamma$ gliadin |  |
| EQPQQPYPE | $\gamma$ gliadin |  |
| EGSFQPSQE | $\alpha$ gliadin | HMW glutenin |
| PQQSFPEQE |  |  |
| QGYYPTSPQ |  |  |

[Modified from Osorio et al., 2012 Funct. Integr. Genomics]
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